

12/03/97
Jc559 US PTO

PTO/SB/05 (6-95) (modified)

Approved for use through 11/30/96, OMB 0651-0032

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

0002/PTO Rev. 6/95	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	Attorney Docket Number	3154
		First Named Inventor	David Alumot
		Total Pages in this Submission	122

**NEW UTILITY PATENT
APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL**
(to be used for new applications only)

APPLICATION ELEMENTS


Notice: Checklist items mentioned under Application Elements section construct a new utility patent application. Please refer to MPEP Sections 506, 601 (37 CFR 1.77, 1.53, 35 USC 111, 112, 113) for detailed explanation regarding completeness of an original patent application.

1. Fee Transmittal Form (in duplicate)
 Check Enclosed (\$1,578.00)
2. Specification
 - Title of the Invention
 - Cross Reference(s) to Related Case(s)
 - Statement Regarding Federally-sponsored Research/Development
 - Background of the Invention
 - Brief Summary of the Invention
 - Brief Description of the Drawing(s)
 - Detailed Description
 - Claim or Claims
 - Abstract of the Disclosure
 - Appendix
3. Drawing(s) (when necessary per 35 USC 113)
4. Declaration (Copy from 07/790,871)
 Executed

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

5. FWC Application Filing Papers under 37 CFR § 1.62
6. Application Filing Papers Under 37 CFR § 1.53(b)
7. Assignment & PTO-1595
8. Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
(if foreign priority is claimed)
9. Information Disclosure Statement & PTO-1449
10. Copies of IDS Citation(s)
11. Petition Checklist and Accompanying Petition
12. Preliminary Amendment
13. Proprietary Information
14. Small Entity Statement
15. Return Receipt Postcard
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT

Signature:	
Attorney/Reg. No.:	Christopher M. Tobin, Registration No. 40,290
Dated:	December 3, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified above, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10 addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date shown below.




Signature:	
Typed or Printed Name:	Christopher M. Tobin
Express Mail Mailing Number:	EM03348798US
Dated:	December 3, 1997

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Application Number		Class		Independent Claims	
Date of Receipt	Application Type	GAU		Total Claims	
	Filing Date	Foreign Filing License?		Drawing Sheets	
	Small Entity	Foreign Address?		Special Handling?	

0002/PTO(modified)
Rev. 10/95U.S. Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademark Office**FEE TRANSMITTAL****TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT**Subtotal (1) + Subtotal (2) + Subtotal (3) = **(\$(1,578.00)**

Complete if Known	
Application Number	Unassigned
Filing Date	December 2, 1997
First Named Inventor	David Alumot
Group Art Unit	Unknown
Examiner Name	Unknown
Attorney Docket Number	3154

METHOD OF PAYMENT		FEES CALCULATION (continued)																																																																																																																																																																														
<p>1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Charge the indicated fees to the below mentioned deposit account.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee required under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 or credit any over payments to the below mentioned deposit account.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Charge the Issue Fee set in 37 CFR 1.18 at the Mailing of the Notice of Allowance, 37 CFR 1.311(b) to the below mentioned deposit account.</p>		<p>3. ADDITIONAL FEES</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Due</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>105/\$130</td><td>205/\$65</td><td>Surcharge - late filing fee or oath</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>127/\$50</td><td>227/\$25</td><td>Surcharge-late provisional filing fee or cover sheet</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>147/\$2,520</td><td>147/\$2,520</td><td>For filing a request for reexamination</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>115/\$110</td><td>215/\$55</td><td>Extension for response within first month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>116/\$400</td><td>216/\$200</td><td>Extension for response within second month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>117/\$950</td><td>217/\$475</td><td>Extension for response within third month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>118/\$1,510</td><td>218/\$755</td><td>Extension for response within fourth month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>119/\$310</td><td>219/\$155</td><td>Notice of Appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>140/\$110</td><td>240/\$55</td><td>Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>141/\$1,320</td><td>241/\$660</td><td>Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>142/\$1,320</td><td>242/\$660</td><td>Utility Issue Fee (Or Reissue)</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>143/\$450</td><td>243/\$225</td><td>Design Issue Fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>122/\$130</td><td>122/\$130</td><td>Petitions to the Commissioner</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>123/\$50</td><td>123/\$50</td><td>Petitions related to provisional applications</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>126/\$240</td><td>126/\$240</td><td>Submission of Information Disclosure Statement</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>581/\$40</td><td>581/\$40</td><td>Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>146/\$790</td><td>246/\$395</td><td>Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>149/\$790</td><td>249/\$395</td><td>For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="4">Other fee (specify)</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="4">Other fee (specify)</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">SUBTOTAL (1)</td> <td colspan="2">(\$(790.00)</td> <td>SUBTOTAL (3) (\$(0)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> <p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Fee Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103/\$22</td><td>203/\$11</td><td>Claims in excess of 20</td></tr> <tr><td>102/\$82</td><td>202/\$41</td><td>Independent claims in excess of 3</td></tr> <tr><td>104/\$270</td><td>204/\$135</td><td>Multiple dependent claim</td></tr> <tr><td>109/\$82</td><td>209/\$41</td><td>Reissue independent claims over original patent</td></tr> <tr><td>110/\$22</td><td>210/\$11</td><td>Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td></tr> </tbody> </table> </td> <td colspan="3"> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">(Col. 1)</th> <th colspan="2">(Col. 2)</th> <th colspan="2">(Col. 3)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>For</th> <th>No. of Existing Claims</th> <th>Highest No. Previously Paid For</th> <th>Extra**</th> <th>Fee</th> <th>Fee Due</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>26</td> <td>minus*</td> <td>20 or</td> <td>= 6</td> <td>x 22 = 132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>INDEP</td> <td>11</td> <td>minus*</td> <td>3 or</td> <td>= 8</td> <td>x 82 = 656</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6">[] First presentation of multiple dependent claim</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6" style="text-align: center;">* Subtract the greater number of Col. 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6" style="text-align: center;">** If the difference between Col. 1 and Col. 2 is less than zero, then enter "0" in Col. 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">SUBMITTED BY</td> <td colspan="2">Complete (if applicable)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Typed or Printed Name</td> <td colspan="2">Christopher M. Tobin</td> <td>Reg Number</td> <td>40,290</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Signature</td> <td colspan="3"></td> <td>Date</td> <td>03 Dec. 1997</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Large Entity Fee Code/Fee	Small Entity Fee Code/Fee	Fee Description	Fee Due	105/\$130	205/\$65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		127/\$50	227/\$25	Surcharge-late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		147/\$2,520	147/\$2,520	For filing a request for reexamination		115/\$110	215/\$55	Extension for response within first month		116/\$400	216/\$200	Extension for response within second month		117/\$950	217/\$475	Extension for response within third month		118/\$1,510	218/\$755	Extension for response within fourth month		119/\$310	219/\$155	Notice of Appeal		140/\$110	240/\$55	Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application		141/\$1,320	241/\$660	Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application		142/\$1,320	242/\$660	Utility Issue Fee (Or Reissue)		143/\$450	243/\$225	Design Issue Fee		122/\$130	122/\$130	Petitions to the Commissioner		123/\$50	123/\$50	Petitions related to provisional applications		126/\$240	126/\$240	Submission of Information Disclosure Statement		581/\$40	581/\$40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)		146/\$790	246/\$395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))		149/\$790	249/\$395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))		Other fee (specify)				Other fee (specify)				SUBTOTAL (1)		(\$(790.00)		SUBTOTAL (3) (\$(0)	<p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Fee Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103/\$22</td><td>203/\$11</td><td>Claims in excess of 20</td></tr> <tr><td>102/\$82</td><td>202/\$41</td><td>Independent claims in excess of 3</td></tr> <tr><td>104/\$270</td><td>204/\$135</td><td>Multiple dependent claim</td></tr> <tr><td>109/\$82</td><td>209/\$41</td><td>Reissue independent claims over original patent</td></tr> <tr><td>110/\$22</td><td>210/\$11</td><td>Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Large Entity Fee Code/Fee	Small Entity Fee Code/Fee	Fee Description	103/\$22	203/\$11	Claims in excess of 20	102/\$82	202/\$41	Independent claims in excess of 3	104/\$270	204/\$135	Multiple dependent claim	109/\$82	209/\$41	Reissue independent claims over original patent	110/\$22	210/\$11	Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">(Col. 1)</th> <th colspan="2">(Col. 2)</th> <th colspan="2">(Col. 3)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>For</th> <th>No. of Existing Claims</th> <th>Highest No. Previously Paid For</th> <th>Extra**</th> <th>Fee</th> <th>Fee Due</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>26</td> <td>minus*</td> <td>20 or</td> <td>= 6</td> <td>x 22 = 132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>INDEP</td> <td>11</td> <td>minus*</td> <td>3 or</td> <td>= 8</td> <td>x 82 = 656</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6">[] First presentation of multiple dependent claim</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)		For	No. of Existing Claims	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Extra**	Fee	Fee Due	TOTAL	26	minus*	20 or	= 6	x 22 = 132	INDEP	11	minus*	3 or	= 8	x 82 = 656	[] First presentation of multiple dependent claim						* Subtract the greater number of Col. 2						** If the difference between Col. 1 and Col. 2 is less than zero, then enter "0" in Col. 3						SUBMITTED BY				Complete (if applicable)		Typed or Printed Name		Christopher M. Tobin		Reg Number	40,290	Signature				Date	03 Dec. 1997
Large Entity Fee Code/Fee	Small Entity Fee Code/Fee	Fee Description	Fee Due																																																																																																																																																																													
105/\$130	205/\$65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath																																																																																																																																																																														
127/\$50	227/\$25	Surcharge-late provisional filing fee or cover sheet																																																																																																																																																																														
147/\$2,520	147/\$2,520	For filing a request for reexamination																																																																																																																																																																														
115/\$110	215/\$55	Extension for response within first month																																																																																																																																																																														
116/\$400	216/\$200	Extension for response within second month																																																																																																																																																																														
117/\$950	217/\$475	Extension for response within third month																																																																																																																																																																														
118/\$1,510	218/\$755	Extension for response within fourth month																																																																																																																																																																														
119/\$310	219/\$155	Notice of Appeal																																																																																																																																																																														
140/\$110	240/\$55	Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application																																																																																																																																																																														
141/\$1,320	241/\$660	Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application																																																																																																																																																																														
142/\$1,320	242/\$660	Utility Issue Fee (Or Reissue)																																																																																																																																																																														
143/\$450	243/\$225	Design Issue Fee																																																																																																																																																																														
122/\$130	122/\$130	Petitions to the Commissioner																																																																																																																																																																														
123/\$50	123/\$50	Petitions related to provisional applications																																																																																																																																																																														
126/\$240	126/\$240	Submission of Information Disclosure Statement																																																																																																																																																																														
581/\$40	581/\$40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)																																																																																																																																																																														
146/\$790	246/\$395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))																																																																																																																																																																														
149/\$790	249/\$395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))																																																																																																																																																																														
Other fee (specify)																																																																																																																																																																																
Other fee (specify)																																																																																																																																																																																
SUBTOTAL (1)		(\$(790.00)		SUBTOTAL (3) (\$(0)																																																																																																																																																																												
<p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code/Fee</th> <th>Fee Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103/\$22</td><td>203/\$11</td><td>Claims in excess of 20</td></tr> <tr><td>102/\$82</td><td>202/\$41</td><td>Independent claims in excess of 3</td></tr> <tr><td>104/\$270</td><td>204/\$135</td><td>Multiple dependent claim</td></tr> <tr><td>109/\$82</td><td>209/\$41</td><td>Reissue independent claims over original patent</td></tr> <tr><td>110/\$22</td><td>210/\$11</td><td>Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Large Entity Fee Code/Fee	Small Entity Fee Code/Fee	Fee Description	103/\$22	203/\$11	Claims in excess of 20	102/\$82	202/\$41	Independent claims in excess of 3	104/\$270	204/\$135	Multiple dependent claim	109/\$82	209/\$41	Reissue independent claims over original patent	110/\$22	210/\$11	Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">(Col. 1)</th> <th colspan="2">(Col. 2)</th> <th colspan="2">(Col. 3)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>For</th> <th>No. of Existing Claims</th> <th>Highest No. Previously Paid For</th> <th>Extra**</th> <th>Fee</th> <th>Fee Due</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>26</td> <td>minus*</td> <td>20 or</td> <td>= 6</td> <td>x 22 = 132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>INDEP</td> <td>11</td> <td>minus*</td> <td>3 or</td> <td>= 8</td> <td>x 82 = 656</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6">[] First presentation of multiple dependent claim</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)		For	No. of Existing Claims	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Extra**	Fee	Fee Due	TOTAL	26	minus*	20 or	= 6	x 22 = 132	INDEP	11	minus*	3 or	= 8	x 82 = 656	[] First presentation of multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																																	
Large Entity Fee Code/Fee	Small Entity Fee Code/Fee	Fee Description																																																																																																																																																																														
103/\$22	203/\$11	Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																																																																																														
102/\$82	202/\$41	Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																																																																																														
104/\$270	204/\$135	Multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																																																																														
109/\$82	209/\$41	Reissue independent claims over original patent																																																																																																																																																																														
110/\$22	210/\$11	Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																																																																																														
(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)																																																																																																																																																																												
For	No. of Existing Claims	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Extra**	Fee	Fee Due																																																																																																																																																																											
TOTAL	26	minus*	20 or	= 6	x 22 = 132																																																																																																																																																																											
INDEP	11	minus*	3 or	= 8	x 82 = 656																																																																																																																																																																											
[] First presentation of multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																																																																																
* Subtract the greater number of Col. 2																																																																																																																																																																																
** If the difference between Col. 1 and Col. 2 is less than zero, then enter "0" in Col. 3																																																																																																																																																																																
SUBMITTED BY				Complete (if applicable)																																																																																																																																																																												
Typed or Printed Name		Christopher M. Tobin		Reg Number	40,290																																																																																																																																																																											
Signature				Date	03 Dec. 1997																																																																																																																																																																											

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: David Alumot, Gad Neumann,
Rivka Sherman & Ehud Tirosh

PRIOR APPLICATION:

SERIAL NO.: 07/790,871

FILING DATE: November 12, 1991

TITLE: TWO-PHASE OPTICAL INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR DEFECT DETECTION

EXAMINER: A. Johns

GROUP ART UNIT: 2606

OLD ATTY. DKT.
NO.: 2822US

NEW ATTY. DKT. NO.: 3154US

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION FILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.53(b)

SIR:

This is a request for filing a **Continuation** application under 37 CFR § 1.53(b), of pending Prior Application Serial No. 07/790,871 filed on November 12, 1991 by **David Alumot, Gad Neumann, Rivka Sherman and Ehud Tirosh** for **TWO-PHASE OPTICAL INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DEFECT DETECTION**.

1. A specification that contains no new matter, and a copy of the executed oath or declaration from Prior Application Serial No. 07/790,871, filed on **November 12, 1991**, is filed herein.

2. Amendments:

- Cancel in this application original claims 2 - 95 of the Prior Application before calculating the filing fee. (At least one original independent claim must be retained for filing purposes.)
- A Preliminary Amendment is enclosed. (Claims added by this amendment have been properly numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered original claim in the Prior Application.)

3. Method of payment of fees:

- Fee Transmittal is enclosed.

4. Relate Back: 35 USC § 120:

- Amend the specification by inserting immediately after the title and before the first line the paragraph:

“Related Applications”

This is a

continuation

divisional

of co-pending application(s)

Serial No. 07/790,871 filed on November 12, 1991, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.”

International Serial No.: _____ filed on _____ and which designated the U.S. This application is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.”

5. Inventorship Statement:

With respect to the prior co-pending U.S. application from which this application claims benefit under 35 USC § 120, the inventor(s) in this application is (are):

the same

less than those named in the Prior Application and it is requested that the following inventor(s) identified above for the Prior Application be deleted:

(Type name(s) of inventor(s) to be deleted)

6. Assignment:

The Prior Application is assigned of record to **Orbot Instruments, Ltd.** recorded in the Patent Office at Reel No.:**5976** Frame No.: **0883**.

an assignment of the invention to _____ is attached. An additional PTO-1595 Form is also attached.

7. Power of Attorney:

The power of attorney appears in the Prior Application.

An Associate Power of Attorney, which includes the undersigned, was filed in the Prior Application on **May 19, 1997**.

Address all future communications to:


Christopher M. Tobin, Esq.
FENWICK & WEST LLP
Two Palo Alto Square
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Tel.: (650) 858-7135
Fax.: (650) 494-1417

I hereby declare further that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully Submitted,

DAVID ALUMOT, GAD NEUMANN, RIVKA SHERMAN AND EHUD TIROSH

Dated: 03 Dec. 1997

By: 

Christopher M. Tobin, Registration No. 40,290
FENWICK & WEST LLP

Two Palo Alto Square

Palo Alto, CA 94306

Tel.: (650) 858-7135

Fax.: (650) 494-1417

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: David Alumot, Gad Neumann,
Rivka Sherman & Ehud Tirosh

PRIOR APPLICATION:

SERIAL NO.: 07/790,871

FILING DATE: November 12, 1991


TITLE: TWO-PHASE OPTICAL INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR DEFECT DETECTION

EXAMINER: A. Johns

GROUP ART UNIT: 2606

OLD ATTY. DKT.
NO.:

NEW ATTY. DKT. NO.: 3154US

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified above, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: The Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10.			
Signature:			
Typed or Printed Name:	Christopher M. Tobin	Date:	December 3, 1997
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):	EM033487988US		

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Kindly enter the following amendments in the above-referenced case.

In the Specification:

On page 2, replace lines 18-28 with the following paragraph:

--According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of inspecting the surface of an article for defects by: optically examining, in a first phase examination, the complete surface of the article and electrically outputting information indicating locations on the article suspected of having defects; storing the suspected locations in a storage device; and, in a second phase examination, optically examining with high resolution only the suspected locations of the article's surface for determining the presence or absence of a defect in the suspected locations; characterized in that the first phase examination is effected by optically scanning the complete surface of the article at a high speed with an optical beam of small diameter. Thus, by selecting the diameter of the optical beam used in the first phase examination, the first phase examination may be made at any desired resolution, as compared to the second phase examination, according to the particular application.--.

On page 2, line 31, after "inspected", insert --with a laser beam of small diameter--.

On page 2, line 33, before "converter", change "a" to --an image--.

On page 2, line 38, change "examining" to --examination--.

On page 3, line 5, change "examining" to --examination--.

On page 3, line 19, after "low spatial resolution" insert --such as with a laser beam of small diameter--.

On page 3, line 26, after “increased” insert --by using a very small diameter laser beam--.

On page 3, line 33, after “N”, insert --different--.

On page 3, line 34, change “images” to --views--.

On page 3, line 35, after “N”, insert --different--.

On page 3, line 36, change “images” to --views--.

On page 3, line 36, delete --pattern unit--.

On page 3, line 38, change “pattern unit” to --surface of the article--.

In the Claims:

Please cancel claim 1 without prejudice.

Please add new claims 96-121 as follows:

- 1 96/ An inspection device for inspecting a patterned substrate, comprising:
 - 2 a light source providing a light beam;
 - 3 an optical system for directing the beam to impinge upon a defined spot on
 - 4 the substrate;
 - 5 a plurality of detectors spaced apart from each other but concurrently
 - 6 directed at the defined spot, said plurality of detectors providing a first
 - 7 and a second reflection data streams corresponding to reflected light
 - 8 from the spot to first and second directions;
 - 9 a memory having a first and a second reference data streams;

10 a comparator comparing said first reflection data stream to said first reference
11 data stream and providing a first comparison signal, and comparing
12 said second reflection data stream to said second reference data stream
13 and providing a second comparison signal.

1 97. The inspection device of claim 96, further comprising a decision
2 processor receiving said first and second comparison signals and providing a global
3 defect alarm.

1 98. The inspection device of claim 96, further comprising:
2 a pixel characterizer receiving said first and second reflection data streams
3 and assigning a corresponding pixel type to each inspected pixel of
4 said first and second reflection data streams, each pixel type having a
5 threshold associated therewith;
6 a subtractor receiving the first and second reflection data streams and the first
7 and second reference data streams and calculating a difference value
8 for each inspected pixel of said first and second reflection data streams;
9 and
10 wherein for each inspected pixel in said first and second reflection data
11 stream said comparator compares the difference value to the threshold
12 associate with the pixel type assigned to said pixel, and issues a defect
13 alarm when the difference value exceeds the threshold.

1 99. The inspection device of claim 98, wherein said difference value
2 comprises a difference between energy level of said inspected pixel and an average
3 energy level of a plurality of pixels selected from one of said first and second
4 reference data streams.

1 100. The inspection device of claim 98, wherein said difference value
2 comprises a difference between a first average energy level of said inspected pixel
3 and selected pixels in close proximity to said inspected pixel, and a second average
4 energy level of a plurality of pixels selected from one of said first and second
5 reference data streams.

1 101. A semiconductor wafer inspection system having an adaptive
2 threshold setting capabilities, comprising:
3 a detector providing a signal of a plurality of inspected pixels;
4 a pixel characterizer receiving said signal and associating with each inspected
5 pixel an inspected pixel type depending on the intensity of the
6 inspected pixel;
7 a comparator receiving the signal of each of said inspected pixels and the
8 associated inspected pixel type and determining therefrom a
9 corresponding threshold, said comparator further performing a
10 threshold-based comparison operation between each of the inspected
11 pixels and a reference data.

1 102. The inspection system of claim 101, wherein said comparator further
2 comprises:
3 an average circuit providing an average intensity of said reference data;
4 a subtractor providing an intensity difference between the intensity of the
5 inspected pixel and the average intensity of the reference data; and
6 wherein said threshold-based comparison operation comprises comparing the
7 intensity difference to the corresponding threshold.

1 103. A method of inspecting a patterned semiconductor wafer, comprising
2 the steps of:
3 illuminating a defined spot on the wafer with a light beam;
4 obtaining a first pixel data from a light reflected from the spot to a first
5 direction;
6 obtaining a second pixel data from a light reflected from the spot to a second
7 direction different from the first direction;
8 obtaining a first reference pixel data from a memory and comparing said first
9 pixel data to said first reference pixel data to determine whether said
10 first pixel data points to a presence of a defect on the spot;
11 obtaining a second reference pixel data from the memory and comparing said
12 second pixel data to said second reference pixel data to determine
13 whether said second pixel data points to a presence of a defect on the
14 spot.

1 104. A method of inspecting a patterned semiconductor wafer, comprising
2 the steps of:

3 illuminating a defined spot on the wafer with a light beam;
4 obtaining an inspected pixel of the spot;
5 inspecting the characteristics of the inspected pixel and assigning a pixel type
6 to the inspected pixel, corresponding to the characteristics of the
7 inspected pixel data;
8 obtaining a reference data from a memory and comparing the inspected pixel
9 to said reference data in correlation to the assigned pixel type to
10 determine whether the inspected pixel points to a presence of a defect
11 on the spot.

1 105. The method of claim 104, further comprising the steps of;
2 providing a threshold level corresponding to the assigned pixel type;
3 obtaining a difference value between the inspected pixel and the reference
4 data; and
5 comparing the difference value to said threshold level to determine whether
6 the inspected pixel points to a presence of a defect on the spot.

1 106. The method of claim 105, wherein said reference data comprises an
2 average energy of a reference pixel corresponding to the inspected pixel, and energy
3 of reference neighboring pixels situated in close proximity to said reference pixel.

1 107. A method of inspecting a patterned semiconductor wafer, comprising
2 the steps of:

3 illuminating a defined spot on the wafer with a light beam;
4 obtaining an inspected pixel and inspected neighborhood pixels neighboring
5 said inspected pixel;
6 inspecting the characteristics of the inspected pixel and assigning a pixel type
7 to the inspected pixel;
8 obtaining a reference data and a reference data type from a memory and
9 comparing the inspected pixel to said reference data in correlation to
10 the assigned pixel type and reference data type to determine whether
11 the inspected pixel points to a presence of a defect on the spot.

1 108. The method of claim 107, further comprising the steps of:
2 comparing the energy level of the inspected pixel to the energy level of the
3 inspected neighborhood pixels to determine whether a large variation
4 in energy exists;
5 when a large variation in energy exists, obtaining a convoluted energy of the
6 inspected pixel and the neighborhood inspected pixels, and comparing
7 the convoluted energy to the reference data in correlation to the
8 assigned pixel type and reference data type to determine whether the
9 inspected pixel points to a presence of a defect on the spot.

1 109. A method for inspecting a substrate to determine whether the
2 substrate includes a defect, comprising:

3 providing first and second inspection data streams that correspond to
4 different perspectives of a single specified location on the substrate;
5 providing first and second reference data streams that respectively correspond
6 to the first and second inspection data streams; and
7 comparing the first inspection data stream to the first reference data stream
8 and the second inspection data stream to the second reference data
9 stream.

1 110. The method of claim 109, wherein said comparing step comprises:
2 assigning a pixel type to each pixel in the first and second inspection data
3 streams;
4 comparing each inspected pixel to a corresponding reference pixel, selected
5 from one of said first and second reference data streams, using
6 threshold values that vary depending upon the assigned pixel type.

1 111. A semiconductor wafer inspection system having an adaptive
2 threshold setting capabilities, comprising:
3 a detector providing a signal of a plurality of inspected pixels;
4 a pixel characterizer receiving said signal and associating with each inspected
5 pixel an inspected pixel type depending on the intensity of the
6 inspected pixel and the intensity of pixels neighboring said inspected
7 pixel;
8 a comparator receiving the signal of each of said inspected pixels and the
9 associated inspected pixel type and determining therefrom a

10 corresponding threshold, said comparator further performing a
11 threshold-based comparison operation between each of the inspected
12 pixels and a reference data.

1 112. An apparatus for inspecting an article to determine whether the article
2 includes a defect, the apparatus comprising:

3 an array of detectors, which provide first and second inspection information
4 streams that comprise streams of pixel data which respectively
5 correspond to different perspectives of a specified location on the
6 article;

7 a processor, in communication with the detectors, which compares the first
8 inspection information stream to a first reference information stream
9 and the second inspection information stream to a second reference
10 information stream to detect a defect in the article, and which assigns
11 one of a plurality of types to each pixel in the inspection information
12 streams using predetermined parameters and compares pixels in each
13 inspection stream to pixels in each reference stream using threshold
14 values that vary dependent upon the assigned type.

1 113. A method for inspecting an article for defects comprising:
2 illuminating a series of locations on the article with both dark field and bright
3 field illumination;

4 providing first and second dark field information streams that respectively
5 correspond to a different dark field perspective for each location in the
6 series;
7 providing first and second reference information streams that correspond to
8 the first and second dark field information streams;
9 comparing the first dark field information stream to the first reference
10 information stream to provide a first comparison signal and the second
11 dark field information stream to the second reference information
12 stream to provide a second comparison signal;
13 providing a bright field information stream that corresponds to each location
14 in the series; and
15 using the first and second comparison signals and the brightfield information
16 stream to determine whether the article includes a defect.

1 114. The method of claim 113, wherein at least one threshold for providing
2 the first and second comparison signals is dynamically adjusted based upon a pixel
3 type that is assigned to pixels in the first and second dark field information streams.

1 115. The method of claim 114, wherein the intensity of each pixel relative to
2 neighboring pixels is used to assign the pixel type.

1 116. An apparatus for inspecting an article for defects comprising:
2 an optical system, which illuminates a series of locations on the article with
3 both dark field and bright field illumination;

4 an array of detectors, which provides first and second dark field information
5 streams that respectively correspond to a different dark field
6 perspective for each location in the series;
7 a memory, which provides first and second reference information streams
8 that correspond to the first and second dark field information streams;
9 a comparator, which compares the first dark field information stream to the
10 first reference information stream to provide a first comparison signal
11 and the second dark field information stream to the second reference
12 information stream to provide a second comparison signal;
13 a bright field detector, which provides a bright field information stream that
14 corresponds to each location in the series; and
15 a decision processor, which uses the first and second comparison signals and
16 the brightfield information stream to determine whether the article includes a defect.

1 117. The apparatus of claim 116, wherein at least one threshold for
2 providing the first and second comparison signals is dynamically adjusted based
3 upon a pixel type that is assigned to pixels in the first and second dark field
4 information streams.

1 118. The apparatus of claim 117, wherein the intensity of each pixel relative
2 to neighboring pixels is used to assign the pixel type.

1 119. A method for determining whether an article includes a defect, the
2 method comprising:

3 illuminating a series of locations on the article;
4 providing an inspection information stream using light reflected from the
5 article as a result of the illuminating step;
6 providing a reference information stream; and
7 detecting a defect in the article by:
8 determining whether the difference between data in the inspection
9 information stream and data in the reference information
10 stream exceeds a threshold level; and
11 dynamically adjusting the threshold level so that different threshold
12 levels are provided for different locations in the series of
13 locations on the article.

1 120. The method of claim 119, wherein the step of dynamically adjusting
2 the threshold level incorporates a determination of the intensity of pixels in the
3 inspection information stream that correspond to the different locations on the
4 article.

1 121. The method of claim 120, wherein the intensity of each pixel relative to
2 neighboring pixels is used to assign the pixel type.


REMARKS

Claims 1-95 have been cancelled without prejudice to pursue their subject matter in this or a continuation application. New claims 96-122 are presented to provide the Applicants with the scope and breadth of claim coverage to which they believe they are entitled.

Favorable action and early allowance are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID ALUMOT, ET AL



Date: 03 Dec. 1997 By:

Christopher M. Tobin
Registration No. 40,290
Fenwick & West LLP
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 600
Palo Alto, CA 94306
(415) 858-7135

JCS46 U.S. PTO
08/984558

12/03/97



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

November 4, 1997

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE UNDER 35 USC 111.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 07/790,871
FILING DATE: November 12, 1991

By Authority of the
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS


N. WOODSON

Certifying Officer

OPTICAL INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS

FIELD AND BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for optically inspecting the surface of an article for defects. The invention is particularly useful for optically inspecting patterned semiconductor wafers used in producing integrated-circuit dies or chips, and the invention is therefore described below particularly with respect to this application.

The inspection of unpatterned semiconductor wafers for surface-lying particles is relatively simple and can be easily automated. In one known type of such system, the wafer is scanned by a laser beam, and a photodetector detects the presence of a particle by collecting the light scattered by the particle. However, the inspection of patterned semiconductor wafers for defects in the pattern is considerably more difficult because the light scattered by the pattern overwhelms the light scattered from the particles or defects, thereby producing high rates of false alarms.

The existing inspection systems for inspecting patterned wafers are generally based on analyzing high resolution two-dimensional images of the patterned wafer utilizing an opto-electric converter, such as a CCD (charge-coupled device), on a pixel-by-pixel basis. However, the extremely large number of pixels involved makes such systems extremely slow. For this reason, the inspection of patterned wafers is done at the present time almost only for statistical sampling purposes. As a result, microdefects in patterned semiconductor wafers remain largely undetected until a considerable number of such wafers have been fabricated and have begun to exhibit problems caused by the defects. The late discovery of such defects can therefore result in considerable losses, low yields, and large downtimes.

There is therefore an urgent need to inspect patterned semiconductor wafers at relatively high speeds and with a relatively low false alarm rate in order to permit inspection during or immediately after the fabrication of the wafer so as to quickly identify any process producing defects and thereby to enable immediate corrective action to be taken. This need is made even more critical by the increasing element density, die size, and number of layers in the integrated circuits now being produced from these wafers, and now being designed for future

production, which requires that the number of microdefects per wafer be drastically reduced to attain a reasonable die yield.

OBJECTS AND BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to provide a novel method and apparatus having advantages in the above respects for inspecting the surface of articles for defects.

In particular, an object of the invention is to provide a method and apparatus for automatically inspecting patterned semiconductor wafers characterized by a relatively high speed and relatively low rate of false alarms such that the patterned wafers may be tested while the wafers are in the production line to quickly enable the fabrication personnel to identify any process or equipment causing yield reduction, to receive fast feedback information after corrective actions, and to predict potential yield loss.

A still further object of the invention is to provide an inspection method and apparatus which are capable of inspecting all the critical layers, and which supply data on defects caused by the presence of particles and defects in the patterns.

According to the present invention, there is provided a method of inspecting the surface of articles for defects, comprising: placing the article to be inspected on a table; in a first phase, optically examining the complete surface of the article on the table at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution; electrically outputting information indicating suspected locations on the article having a high probability of a defect; storing the outputted information in a storage device; and in a second phase, while the article is still on the table, optically examining with a relatively high spatial resolution only the suspected locations stored in the storage device for the presence or absence of a defect in the suspected locations.

According to further features of the invention, the first examining phase is effected by optically scanning the complete article surface to be inspected; and the second examining phase is automatically effected immediately after the first phase by imaging only the suspected locations on a converter which converts the images to electrical signals and then analyzes the electrical signals.

According to still further features in preferred embodiments of the invention described below, the surface of the article to be inspected includes a pattern, e.g., a patterned wafer used for producing a plurality of integrated-circuit dies or chips. The first examining phase is effected

by making a comparison between the inspected pattern and another pattern, serving as a reference pattern, to identify locations on the inspected pattern wherein there are sufficient differences with respect to the reference pattern to indicate a high probability of a defect in the inspected pattern. The second examining phase is also effected by making a comparison between the inspected pattern and the reference pattern, to identify locations on the inspected pattern wherein the comparison shows sufficient differences with respect to the reference pattern to indicate the presence of a defect in the suspected location of the inspected pattern.

The reference pattern may be a pattern on another like article (e.g., die-to-die comparison), another like pattern on the same article (repetitive pattern comparison), or data stored in a database (die-to-database comparison).

It will thus be seen that the novel method of the present invention primarily monitors changes in the defect density while maintaining a high throughput with a relatively low false alarm rate. Thus, the first examination is done at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution to indicate only suspected locations having a high probability of a defect; and the second examination is done with a relatively high spatial resolution but only with respect to the suspected locations having a high probability of a defect. The sensitivity of the two phases may be adjusted according to the requirements for any particular application. Thus, where the application involves a relatively low number of defects, the sensitivity of the first examination phase may be increased to detect very small defects at a high speed but at the expense of an increased false alarm rate. However, since only relatively few suspected locations are examined in the second phase, the overall inspection can be effected relatively quickly to enable the fabrication personnel to identify defects caused by any process or equipment, and to immediately correct the cause for such defects.

According to a further feature of the invention, the first examining phase is effected by generating a first flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the inspected pattern unit; generating a second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the reference pattern unit; and comparing the data of the first flow with the data of the second flow to provide an indication of the suspected locations of the inspected pattern unit having a high probability of a defect.

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

According to still further features of the invention, the pattern is based on a grid of angularly-spaced lines (e.g., 45° spacing); and the N streams of data in each flow are generated by a circular array of light collectors. The light collectors are located to collect the light in regions midway between the angularly-spaced lines of the grid. Such an arrangement minimizes the amount of pattern-reflected light, collected by the light collectors; that is, such an arrangement does not see most of the pattern, except pattern irregularities, corners and curves.

Preferably, there are eight light collectors each located to collect the light in a region midway between each pair of the angularly-spaced lines of the grid; it is contemplated, however, that the system could include another member, e.g., four such light collectors equally spaced between the grid lines.

According to still further features of the invention, the second examining phase is effected by imaging on a converter each suspected location of the inspected pattern unit and the corresponding location of the reference pattern unit to output two sets of electrical signals corresponding to the pixels of the inspected pattern unit and the reference pattern unit, respectively; and comparing the pixels of the inspected pattern unit with the corresponding pixels of the reference pattern unit to indicate a defect whenever a mismatch of a predetermined magnitude is found to exist at the respective location. Each suspected location of the inspected pattern unit and the reference pattern unit is imaged at a plurality of different depths, and the electric signals of one set are shifted with respect to those of the other set to match the respective depths of the images.

The invention also provides apparatus for inspecting articles, particularly patterned semiconductor wafers, in accordance with the above method.

Further features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the description below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention is herein described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Fig. 1 is a pictorial illustration of one form of apparatus constructed in accordance with the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a block diagram of the apparatus of Fig. 1;

AUG 22 1980
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Fig. 3 is a diagram illustrating the wafer handling and image-acquisition system in the apparatus of Figs. 1 and 2;

Fig. 4 is a diagram illustrating the optic system in the first examining phase of the apparatus of Fig. 1;

Fig. 5 is a top plan view illustrating the disposition of the light collectors in the optic system of Fig. 4;

Fig. 6 is a diagram more particularly illustrating the disposition of the light collectors in Fig. 5, Fig. 6a showing a variation;

Figs. 7 and 7a are diagrams illustrating one of the light collectors in the arrangements of Figs. 6 and 6a, respectively;

Figs. 8 and 8a are diagrams more particularly illustrating the light collecting zones in the arrangements of Figs. 6 and 6a, respectively.

Figs. 9-11 are diagrams illustrating the manner of scanning the wafer in the Phase I examination;

Fig. 12 is a block diagram illustrating the Phase I processing system;

Fig. 13 is a block diagram illustrating the main components of the preprocessor in one channel of the processing system of Fig. 12;

Fig. 14 is a block diagram illustrating one channel in the processing system of Fig. 12 following the preprocessor, Fig. 14a illustrating the algorithm involved in one of the operations performed by that system;

Fig. 15 is a block diagram particularly illustrating a portion of the processing system of Fig. 14;

Fig. 16 is a block diagram particularly illustrating the Threshold Processor in the processing system of Fig. 12;

Fig. 17 is a block diagram more particularly illustrating the Pixel Characterizer of Fig. 15, Fig. 17a illustrating the algorithm involved;

Figs. 18, 19 and 20 are block diagrams more particularly illustrating the Ratio, Gradient and Maximum Definition Calculator in the system of Fig. 17;

Figs. 21a and 21b illustrate the nine registers in the Ratio Calculator and Gradient Calculator, respectively;

Fig. 22 illustrates the Score Calculator in the image processor channel of Fig. 14, Fig. 22a being diagrams helpful in understanding the operation of the crossbar switch (73i) of Fig. 22;

DEGEE4E3B 4.203922

Fig. 23 is a block diagram helpful in understanding the operation of the score calculator of Fig. 22;

Fig. 24 is a block diagram illustrating more particularly the Defect Detector portion of the image processor of Fig. 14;

Fig. 25 is a block diagram illustrating more particulars of the comparator 77 of Fig. 24, Fig. 25a illustrating the algorithm involved;

Fig. 26 is a diagram illustrating the main elements of the Phase II optic system;

Figs. 27-31 are diagrams illustrating the construction and operation of the Phase II examination system;

Fig. 32 is a diagram helpful in explaining the repetitive-pattern comparison technique;

Figs. 33, 34 and 35 are block diagrams corresponding to Figs. 12, 14 and 24, respectively, but showing the modifications for the repetitive pattern-pattern comparison technique;

Fig. 36 is an optical diagram corresponding to Fig. 26, but illustrating modifications in the Phase II examination;

Fig. 37 is a diagram helpful in explaining the modifications in the Phase II examination;

Figs. 38 and 39 are block diagrams corresponding to Figs. 27 and 28, respectively, but showing the changes in the Phase II examination;

Fig. 40 is a block diagram illustrating an implementation of a die-to-database comparison technique;

Figs. 41 and 42 are diagrams illustrating the kinds of corners, and kinds of curves, involved in the system of Fig. 40;

Fig. 43 is a diagram illustrating the array of detectors involved in the system of Fig. 40;

Figs. 44, 45 and 46 further diagrams helpful in explaining the operation of the system of Fig. 40;

Fig. 47 is a block diagram illustrating the preprocessor in the system of Fig. 40;

Fig. 48 is a block diagram helpful in explaining the operation of the spanner in the system of Fig. 40; and

Fig. 49 is a flow chart illustrating the operation of the spanner in the system of Fig. 40.

DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Overall System

The system illustrated in the drawings is designed particularly for automatically inspecting patterned semiconductor wafers having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns. The system inspects each pattern, called the inspected pattern, by comparing it with at least one other pattern on the wafer, serving as the reference pattern, to detect any differences which would indicate a defect in the inspected pattern.

The inspection is made in two phases: In the first phase, the complete surface of the wafer is inspected at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution; and information is outputted indicating suspected locations on the wafer having a high probability of a defect. These locations are stored in a storage device. In the second phase, only the suspected locations stored in the storage device are examined with a relatively high spatial resolution; and a determination is made as to the presence or absence of a defect. This facilitates identification and correction of the process that created the defect.

The inspection apparatus illustrated in Figs. 1-3 of the drawings includes a table 2 for receiving the wafer W to be inspected. The first phase inspection of the wafer is by a laser 3 outputting a laser beam which scans the complete surface of the wafer W; and a plurality of light collectors 4 arranged in a circular array to collect the light scattered from the wafer and to transmit the scattered light to a plurality of detectors 5. The outputs of the detectors 5 are fed via a Phase I preprocessor 6 to a Phase I image processor 7, which processes the information under the control of a main controller 8. The Phase I image processor 7 processes the outputs of the detectors 5 and produces information indicating suspected locations on the wafer having a high probability of a defect. These suspected locations are stored within a storage device in the main controller 8.

Only the suspected locations having a high probability of a defect are examined by the Phase II examining system. This system includes an optic system for imaging the suspected location on an opto-electric converter, e.g., a CCD matrix 9, which converts the images to electric signals. These signals are fed via a Phase II preprocessor 10 to a Phase II image processor 11 which, under the control the main controller 8,

outputs information indicating the presence or absence of a defect in each suspected location examined in Phase II.

In the block diagram illustrated in Fig. 2, the table 2 of Fig. 1, and associated elements involved in the wafer handling system, are indicated generally by block 12. Table 2 is controlled by a movement control system, indicated by block 13, to effect the proper positioning of the wafer on the table 2 in each of the Phase I and Phase II examination phases, and also the scanning of the wafer W in the Phase I examination.

The light detectors 5 of Fig. 1 are included in the Phase I image acquisition sensor indicated by block 8, in Fig. 2; and the opto-electric converter 9 of Fig. 1 is included within the Phase II image acquisition sensor indicated by block 8₂, in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 also illustrates a post processor 14 processing the information from the Phase I processor 7; the main controller 8 which manages and synchronizes the data and controls the flow; a keyboard 15 enabling the operator to input information into the main controller 8; and a monitor 16 enabling the operator to monitor the processing of the information.

All the elements in the wafer handling and image acquisition subsystem for both phases are included within the broken-line box generally designated A in Fig. 2; all the elements of the image processor subsystem (both the algorithms and the hardware) for both phases are indicated by the broken-line block B; and all the elements in the operator console subsystem are indicated by the broken-line block C. The latter subsystem includes not only the main controller 8, keyboard 15, and monitor 16, but also a graphic terminal unit, shown at 17 in Fig. 1.

The other elements illustrated in Fig. 1 are described more particularly below in connection with their respective subsystems.

Wafer Handling and Image Acquisition

Fig. 3 more particularly illustrates the wafer handling and image acquisition subsystem 5a (Fig. 2).

This subsection includes the table 2 which is of a large mass (such as of granite). It is mounted on vibration isolators 20 to dampen high frequency vibrations from the outside world.

The subsection illustrated in Fig. 3 also includes the movement controller 13 controlled by the main controller 8. Movement controller 13 controls a one-directional scanning stage 21. This stage moves a vacuum chuck 24 which holds the wafer flattened during its movement in one

orthogonal direction with respect to the Phase I sensors 5, as the laser beam from the laser 3 is deflected in the other orthogonal direction to scan the complete surface of the wafer during the Phase I examination.

Movement controller 13 further controls a two-dimensional scanning stage 22 effective, during the Phase II examination, to position the wafer at any desired position with respect to the Phase II detector 9 (the CCD matrix). As described in detail below, the control of one of the axes of this stage serves also during the Phase I examination. Movement controller 13 further controls a rotation/level/focus stage 23, which rotates the wafer about its axis to align it angularly, to level it, and to keep it in focus during scanning. Stage 23 also moves the vacuum chuck 24 and its wafer towards or away from the Phase II sensor 9 to enable producing a plurality of images at different depths during the Phase II examination, as will be described more particularly below.

Fig. 3 also schematically illustrates a wafer handler 25 which transfers the wafer W between the vacuum chuck 24, a wafer prealigner 26, and cassettes 27 and 28. The wafer prealigner 26 initially aligns the wafer angularly and centers it, and also schematically illustrated in Fig. 3 is an optical character recognition unit 29 which reads the wafer identification code.

The foregoing components are generally individually well-known and are therefore not described herein in detail.

Phase I Optic System

As shown in Fig. 4, the laser 3 (e.g., an argon laser) outputs a laser beam which is passed through a polarizer beam splitter 30 oriented in such a way to transmit the laser light to the wafer W, but to reflect the reflected light from the wafer to a photodetector 31. The latter outputs an electric signal controlling the Phase I preprocessor 6. The laser beam from beam splitter 30 is passed through a beam expander 32, then through a cylindrical lens 33a, a deflector 34, another cylindrical lens 33b, a folding mirror 35, a multi-magnification telescope 36, a beam splitter 37, a quarter wavelength plate 38 which converts the linearly polarized light to a circularly polarized light and vice versa, and finally through a microscope objective 39, which focuses the laser beam on the wafer W.

The beam expander 32 expands the laser beam diameter to fill the optic aperture of the deflector 34, and the cylindrical lens 33a focuses the laser beam onto the deflector 34. Deflector 34 is an acousto-optic deflector. It scans the laser beam in one orthogonal direction in a

sawtooth pattern in the time domain, while the motion controller moves the table (and the wafer thereon) in the other orthogonal direction in order to scan the complete surface of the wafer. The folding mirror 35 reflects the laser beam into the multi-magnification telescope 36, which matches the laser beam diameter and scan aperture to fit the input requirements of standard microscopic optics. Slit 40 within telescope 36 permits only the first order defracted light of the laser beam to impinge the wafer W.

Beam splitter 37 passes a part of the beam to the wafer, as described above, and reflects another part to an autofocus unit 41, which determines whether the wafer is in the focus of the microscope objective 39. The autofocus unit can be a standard one, such as the one used in the Leitz Ergolux microscope.

The light reflected from the laser beam by the wafer W being inspected is collected by a plurality of light collectors 42 arranged in a circular array around the objective lens 39, as shown more particularly in Figs. 5 and 6. The pattern on the wafer W is based on a grid of lines spaced 45° from each other. The circular array of light collectors 42 are located to collect the light in the regions midway between the angularly-spaced lines of the grid, in order to minimize the amount of pattern-reflected light collected by them. In the example illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6, there are eight of such light collectors 42, each spaced midway between two adjacent grid lines. The apparatus, however, could include only four of such light collectors, as described more particularly below with respect to Figs. 6a, 7a and 8a.

Baffles 43 (Fig. 7) keeps spurious laser light from reaching the wafer W. Further baffles 44 (Fig. 6) between the light collectors 42 limit the field of view of the light collectors 42 to the predetermined region on the wafer to minimize the amount of spurious laser light collected by them.

Each of the light collectors 42 includes an optic fibre having an inlet end 42a (Fig. 7) adjacent to the point of impingement of the laser beam on the wafer W, in order to collect the light scattered by the wafer, and an outlet end 42b adjacent a lens 45 for focussing the light onto a photodetector sensor 46.

The inlet end 42a of each optic fibre is confined to a shaped, curved region, as more particularly illustrated at 47 in Fig. 8. This end of each region has a pair of sides 47a, 47b, converging from a base 47c, which base is located substantially parallel to the table 2 receiving the

wafer W to be inspected. The two sides 47a, 47b converge to a pointed tip 47d overlying the table receiving the wafer.

As shown in Fig. 8, the inlet ends of the optic fibres 42 thus define light collecting zones a, separated by non-collecting zones b. In the illustrated example, the width of each light-collecting zone a is 16° at the bottom surface (47c), and its height (ϕ) is 49°. Such an arrangement minimizes the pattern-reflected light, and maximizes the defect-reflected light, collected by the light collectors.

Another example of the light-gathering optics which may be used is illustrated in Figs. 6a, 7a and 8a, corresponding to the above-described Figs. 6, 7 and 8, respectively. In this example, there are only four light collectors, therein designated 42', located at angles of 45°, 135°, 225° and 315°, respectively. This configuration is useful when the object to be inspected consists of lines in only two orthogonal directions (0° and 90°). Another advantage of this configuration is that the objective 39' may have a higher numerical aperture, and thus the spot size used for scanning may be smaller. The light collecting zones in this configuration are illustrated at 47' in Fig. 8a. As one example, the width a of the light collecting zones may be 30°, and their height may be 45°.

As shown in Fig. 9, the wafer W being inspected is formed with a plurality of integrated-circuit dies D₁-D_n each including the same pattern. In the Phase I examination, the complete surface of the wafer is scanned by the laser beam 3, and the resulting scattered light is collected by the above-described light collectors 42 in order to detect defects, or at least those suspected areas having a high likelihood of including a defect and therefore to be more carefully examined during the Phase II examination. As also indicated above, during the Phase I examination (and also the Phase II examination), the pattern of one die D, serving as the inspected pattern, is compared with the light pattern of at least one other die, serving as the reference pattern, to determine the likelihood of a defect being present in the inspected pattern.

Figs. 9-11 illustrate the manner of carrying out the scanning of the wafer in the Phase I examination.

Thus, as shown in Fig. 9, the laser beam is deflected in the X-direction by the acousto-optic deflector 34 (Fig. 4) so as to form a scanning line shown at 50 in Fig. 11. At the same time, the scanning stage 21 of the table 2 supporting the wafer W moves the wafer beneath the wafer spot at a continuous constant velocity in the Y-direction, to thereby produce a raster scan indicated at 51 in Fig. 11. In the example

illustrated, the scanning length of line 50 is 1 mm (1,000 microns); the distance between two adjacent lines S_y is 0.6 microns; and the distance equal to the sampling distance (S_x) in the X-direction is similarly 0.6 microns. The spot size of the laser beam, shown at 52, is about 3.0 microns (i.e., covering approximately 5 sample points).

Thus, the scanning stage 21 scans the wafer between the points a and b in the Y-direction, as shown in Fig. 9. As a result, an area is covered having a width (w) of about 1 mm, and a length equal to the distance between point a and b.

The wafer is then moved in the X-direction from point b to point c (Fig. 9) by the scanning stage 22 (Fig. 3), and the area between points c and d is then scanned, and so forth.

The scanning is done in such a way that there is an overlap (t, Fig. 10) between adjacent stripes scanned by the laser beam 52. In the example illustrated in the drawings, the overlap (t) is 0.2 mm.

In this manner, different dies on the same wafer are continuously scanned to produce the scattered light collected by the light collectors 42 (or 42', Figs. 6a-8a) so as to enable a die-by-die comparison to be made of each die, called the inspected die, with another die, called the reference die, to produce an indication of the probability of a defect in the inspected die.

As indicated earlier, the Phase I examination system may include eight light detectors 46 (or four light detectors where the variation of Figs. 6a-8a is used) for inspecting the wafer for defects. However, it may also include a further detector (a reflected light detector) to provide additional information for the registration procedure. Thus, the misalignment may be detected from the reflected light detector image by computing the cross-correlation between a rectangle of pixels in the inspected image, and the rectangle of pixels in the reference image in all possible misalignments. This information may be used where the score matrix computed in the alignment control circuit does not provide a significant indication of the correct misalignment.

Phase I Image Processor

The Phase I examination is effected by: (a) generating a first flow of N streams of data (N being the number of light collectors 42, or 42') representing the pixels of different images of the inspected pattern; (b) generating a second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the reference pattern; and (c) comparing the data of

the first flow with the data of the second flow to provide an indication by the comparison of the suspected locations of the inspected pattern having a high probability of a defect. The comparison is effected by correcting any misalignment between the two flows of data; comparing the data of each stream of the first flow with the data of the corresponding stream of the second flow to provide a difference or alarm value indicating the significance of the presence of a suspected pixel in the stream; and detecting a defect at a pixel location according to N difference or alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

Fig. 12 is a functional block diagram of the Phase I image processor. It includes an input from each of the eight sensors 46a-46b (each corresponding to photodetector sensor 46 in Fig. 7) to their respective preprocessors 6a-6g. The sensors convert the light signals to analog electrical signals, and the preprocessors sample the latter signals at pixel intervals and convert them to digital data. The outputs of the preprocessors are thus in the form of streams of pixel values forming a digital version of the image.

As shown in Fig. 13, the preprocessor 6 in each channel includes a preamplifier 56 which converts the current received from its respective sensor 46 into a voltage and amplifies it to a level suitable as an input to an A/D converter 57. The parameters of amplification can be controlled in accordance with the characteristics of the signal received from the inspected wafer. The A/D converter 57 samples the analog voltage and converts it to a digital value. Sampling of the image is carried out continuously to obtain a two-dimensional image of the object.

Two flows of eight streams of data are thus generated: One flow represents the pixels of eight different images of the reference pattern previously stored in a temporary memory; and the other flow represents the pixels of different images of the inspected pattern to be compared with those of the reference pattern in order to provide an indication of the presence of a defect in the inspected pattern. The detection of defects is made in a Defect Detector circuit 60a-60h for each of the eight streams.

The processing system illustrated in Fig. 12 further includes an Alignment Control Circuit 62 which controls a Registrator Circuit 64a-64h for each second Defect Detector circuit 60a-60h. Thus, the Registrator Circuits 64a, 64c, 64e and 64g continuously monitor the registration between the reference and inspected images. They produce a score matrix for each of the chosen registration points, and output a score matrix (i.e., a matrix of values) for each of the possible shift positions around

the current registration point. The Alignment Control Circuit 62 analyzes the score matrices obtained from four of the sensor channels (i.e., every other one). It computes the value of alignment error signals (D_x, D_y) where the best match occurs, and outputs the alignment control signals to the Defect Detector circuits 60a-60h to correct misalignment between the two flows of data streams.

The Defect Detector circuits 60a-60h feed their outputs to a Decision Table 66 which makes a decision, based on the alarm values obtained from all eight sensor channels, as to whether a Global Defect Alarm (i.e., a logical output indicating the existence of a defect at a given location) should be issued or not. The Decision Table 66 thus receives, as inputs, the alarm values from all eight channels, and outputs a Defect flag.

Each of the eight alarm values has one of three values (0, 1 or 2) indicating no alarm, low alarm, and high alarm, respectively. The decision table is set to output a defect flag "1", indicating the existence of a defect if, and only if: (a) at least one alarm value is "2"; and (b) at least two adjacent alarm values are "2" or "1" (alarm values of channels "a" and "g" are adjacent).

The output of Decision Table 66 is applied to a parameters buffer circuit 68 which records the parameters describing each defect, such as the exact coordinates and the type (to be explained later) and intensity of the pixels in the immediate vicinity of the defect in both the inspected and reference images. It receives as inputs the alarm flag trigger ("0" indicates no defect, and "1" indicates a defect), and all the parameters to be recorded. The latter are received from temporary memories associated with each of the eight channels. The parameters buffer 68 outputs a list of the defects accompanied by their parameters to the post processor 14.

The post processor 14 receives the list of suspected defects, together with their relevant parameters, and makes decisions before passing them on to the main controller for processing by the Phase II image processor system. It outputs a list of suspected points to transmit to the Phase II examination system, including their parameters, and also a list of defects which will not be transmitted to the Phase II examination system.

Fig. 14 more particularly illustrates the Defect Detector (e.g., 60a) and its associated Registrator (64a) in one channel of the image processor of Fig. 12.

Detection of defects by the defect detector in each channel is based on the comparison of each pixel in the inspected stream with the

corresponding pixel in the corresponding reference stream. Pixels are compared relative to an adaptive threshold determining detection sensitivity according to pixel type. The type of each pixel is determined by pixel characteristics, such as signal intensity and shape in a 3x3 neighbourhood.

Thus, the digital image from the preprocessor (6a-6h) in the respective stream is fed to a Threshold Processor 70, and also to a Delay Buffer 71. The outputs from the Threshold Processor 70 and the Delay Buffer 71 are applied to Pixel Characterizers 72 and 74. Pixel Characterizer 72 is in the Registrator Circuit 64a (Fig. 12) which circuit outputs signals to a Score Calculator 73 (Fig. 14) controlling (with three other streams as indicated above) the Alignment control circuit 62 (Fig. 12). Pixel Characterizer 74 is used for comparison. It is connected to a Reference Die Memory 75 which also receives the signals from the delay buffer 71 and outputs signals to the Score Calculator 73 and also to a Pixel Aligner 76, the latter outputting signals to a Comparator 77.

Comparator 77, which is included in the Defect Detector 60 for each channel, carries out a comparison between the inspected image in the vicinity of the current pixel, and the reference image in the vicinity of the corresponding pixel. The comparison is made with respect to a threshold level which is dependent on the pixel type of the current pixels in the reference image and inspected image.

Thus, Comparator 77 includes four inputs: (1) reference pixels input (a), corresponding to the intensity of the pixels in the reference image; (2) reference type input (b), corresponding to the type of pixel in the reference image; (3) inspected type input (c), corresponding to the type of the pixels in the inspected image; and (4) inspected pixels input (d), corresponding to the intensity of pixels in the inspected image. As a result of the comparison performed by Comparator 77, it outputs an alarm value, via its Alarm output (e), of three possible results of the comparison: (a) exceeds higher thresholds; (b) exceeds lower threshold only; and (c) below the threshold. As shown in Fig. 12, the outputs of Comparator 77 in all eight streams are fed to the Decision Table 66.

The Threshold Processor 70 computes the thresholds for classification of the pixels as they are scanned. The computation is based on histograms of the characteristic parameters. There are three thresholds for each parameter: (a) for decision on registration points; (b) for classification of pixels in the reference image; and (c) for classification of pixels in the inspected image.

Threshold Processor 70 receives the pixel stream from the scanned object via its preprocessor (e.g., 6a, Fig. 12), and outputs its threshold levels to the Pixel Characterizers 72 and 74, one for registration and one for the comparison.

Delay Buffer 71 delays the processing in the respective Defect Detector (e.g., 60a) and Registrator (e.g., 64a) until the thresholds have been computed. This ensures that the thresholds are set according to the parameters in the area which is being scanned. Thus, it receives the pixel stream from the object being scanned via its respective preprocessor, and outputs the same to the two Pixel Characterizers 72, 74, and to the Reference Die Memory 75, after a suitable delay.

Pixel Characterizer 74 computes the type of the current pixel. Thus, during the scanning of the reference pattern it computes the type of each pixel in that image for storage in the Reference Die Memory 75; and during scanning of the inspected pattern, it continuously computes the type of the current pixel which is transmitted directly to Comparator 77.

Pixel Characterizer 72 selects registration points on the basis of the pixel type, determined from the results of the computation of pixel parameters and their comparison with thresholds. Thus, its inputs are the inspected image from the Delay Buffer 71, and the thresholds for all the pixel parameters from the Threshold Processor 70; and it outputs registration point flags to the Score Calculator 73 for points chosen as the registration points.

The Score Calculator 73 computes the score matrix of correlation between the inspected and reference images in all the possible shifts around the current pixel, up to the maximum allowed. It receives three inputs: (a) the inspected image, to define the area around which the correlation is checked; (b) the reference image, to define the range of possible matches within the maximum range of horizontal and vertical shifts; and (c) a control input, from Pixel Characterizer 72, allowing the choice of registration points on the basis of pixel type.

The outputs of four (of the eight) streams are fed to the Alignment Control Circuits 62 (Fig. 12) in order to calculate the proper registration.

Pixel Characterizer 74 computes the type of the current pixel. Thus, during the scanning of the reference pattern, it computes the type of each pixel in that image for storage in the Reference Die Memory 75; and during the scanning of the inspected pattern it continuously computes the

type of the current pixel, which is transmitted directly to the Comparator 77.

Pixel Characterizer 74 includes two inputs: (a) the digital image, outputted from the Delay Buffer 71; and (b) the threshold values from the Threshold Processor 70 for the relevant parameters, to enable a decision to be made as to the pixel type. Pixel Characterizer 74 is described more particularly below with respect to Fig. 17.

The Reference Die Memory 75 stores an image of the reference pattern. This image contains both the intensities of the pixels and their classification type. It includes a Pixels input (a), receiving the gray level for each pixel from the Delay Buffer 71, and a Type input (b), receiving the pixel classification from the Pixel Characterizer 74. The inputs are active only when the reference pattern is being scanned, and the reference image is retrieved when needed for the purpose of comparison with the inspected image. It includes a Pixels output (b) applied to the Score Calculator 73 and also to the Pixel Aligner 76, and a Type output applied to the Pixel Aligner 76.

The Pixel Aligner 76 executes an advance or a delay in the pixels being outputted by the Reference Die Memory 75 before they reach the comparison stage, in order to align them with the current pixel in the inspected image. Its inputs are the pixels intensity and type outputs from the Reference Die Memory 75, and also an alignment control input from the Alignment Computer 62 (Fig. 12); and it outputs the reference image pixel streams with an advance or delay.

Comparator 77 carries out a comparison between the inspected image in the vicinity of the current pixel, and the reference image in the vicinity of the corresponding pixel. This comparison is made with respect to a variable threshold level, which is dependent on the pixel type of the current pixel in the reference and inspected images. Thus, its inputs (a)-(d) include the pixels intensity and type in the reference image from the Pixel Aligner 76, and the pixel intensity and type in the inspected image from the Delay Buffer 71 and Pixel Characterizer 74, respectively.

Fig. 15 more particularly illustrates the Registrator (e.g., 64a) of Fig. 14, especially the Threshold Processor 70, Delay Buffer 71, Pixel Characterizer 72 and Score Calculator 73.

As described earlier, the Threshold Processor 70 computes the thresholds for classification of the pixels as they are scanned, the computation being based on histograms of the characteristic parameters. The Threshold Processor thus includes a Pixel Parameters Calculator 70a,

which calculates the parameters of the current pixel on the basis of its immediate surroundings; a Histogrammer 70b which computes the histogram of the current pixels parameters; and a Threshold Calculator 70c which examines the histogram for each parameter and determines from it the proper value of threshold for that parameter.

The Delay Buffer 71 corrects the timing of the arrival of the reference and inspected images to that of the arrival of the registration point flags from the Pixel Characterizer 72. Thus, Delay Buffer 71 includes a buffer 71a for the inspected image, and a buffer 71b for the reference image.

The Pixel Characterizer 72, as described with reference to Fig. 14, chooses the registration point on the basis of the pixel type. It includes the following subunits: a Pixel Parameters Calculator 72a, which calculates the parameters (gradient, ratio, maximum) of the current pixel on the basis of its immediate surroundings; Threshold Comparators 72b which compare these parameters with the thresholds which have been set separately for each parameter by the Threshold Processor 70; and a Decision Type Table 72c, which determines, on the basis of the results of the comparison by the Threshold Comparators 72b, whether the current pixel is suitable at the sampling point to carry out registration.

For every registration point the correspondence of its 3×3 pixels neighbourhood is measured against pixels in a range of $\pm R$ in the corresponding stream. Fig. 14a illustrates the algorithm. For each of the $(2R+1) \times (2R+1)$ possible misalignments, a correlation measure is computed as the normalized sum of absolute difference. The correlation matrices computed for different registration points are summed, and the minimal value in the matrix corresponds to the correct misalignment.

The Score Calculator 73, as described earlier with reference to Fig. 14, computes the score matrix of correlation between the inspected and reference images in all the possible shifts around the current pixel, up to the maximum allowed (plus or minus vertical and horizontal ranges). This unit includes the following circuits: delays 73a, 73b, to correct the timing of the arrival of the inspected and reference images, respectively, to that of the arrival of the Registration Point flags from the pixel characterizer 72; Neighbourhood Normalizers 73c, 73d, to normalize the pixels in the neighbourhood of the current pixel; Absolute Difference Calculator 73e, which finds the absolute difference between the inspected image in the vicinity of the current pixel as against all the possible matches in the reference image within the maximum range of shifts in the

vertical and horizontal axes, and computes the score matrix for these matches; and Score Matrix accumulator 73f which sums and stores all the score matrices which are accumulated during the scanning of a number of successive rows, before transmitting them to the Alignment Computer 62 (Fig. 12) for computation of the best match.

The Neighbourhood Normalizers 73c, 73d, normalize the pixels in the neighbourhood of the current pixel in accordance with the following formula:

$$P_{\text{new}} = P(ij) - \bar{n}(ij) \text{ where } \bar{n}_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{i=n-1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=m-1}^{m+1} P(i,j)}{9}$$

The Threshold Processor 70 of Figs. 14 and 15 is more particularly illustrated in Fig. 16. As described earlier, it computes the thresholds for classification of the pixels as they are scanned, the computation being based on histograms of the characteristic parameters. It includes, in addition to the Parameters Calculator 70a, the Histogrammer 70b and the Threshold Calculator 70c described above with reference to Fig. 15, also a delay line 70c, which delays the pixels received at the input to the pixel flow circuit until a column of three pixels from three adjacent rows are received. These pixels are delayed in a pipeline delay subunit 70d before being applied to the Histogrammer 70b.

The Parameters Calculator 70a includes a Ratio Calculator 70e, and a Gradient Calculator 70f.

The Ratio Calculator 70e computes the ratio between the current pixel $P(ij)$, and the average of the pixels in the surrounding area in the vertical and horizontal directions. It outputs the following signals: the ratio in the horizontal direction (R_h); the ratio in the vertical direction (R_v); and the ratio to the average of the four surrounding pixels (R_{ij}).

The Gradient Calculator 70f calculates the gradient in the surroundings of the current pixel $P(ij)$ in a matrix of 3×3 adjacent pixels by operation of a convolver with the following coefficients:

In the VERTICAL DIRECTION:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1.4 & 0 & 1.4 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In the HORIZONTAL direction:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1.4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The outputs of the Ratio Calculator 70e are applied to a Ratio Table of Levels 70g, before being fed to the Histogrammer 70b, and the outputs of the Gradient Calculator 70f are applied to a Gradient Table of Levels 70h before being fed to the Histogrammer 70b.

The Threshold Processor illustrated in Fig. 16 further includes a Maximum Definition circuit 70i, which makes a decision on the current pixel in relation to its surroundings, to define the following parameters: $M(ij) = 1$, if the pixel is larger (higher in intensity) than all the eight surrounding pixels; $M(v) = 1$, if the pixel is larger than its two neighbours in the same column; and $M(h) = 1$, if the pixel is larger than its two neighbours in the same row.

The outputs of the Maximum Definition circuit 70i are applied, via a pipeline delay circuit 70j, to the Histogrammer 70b.

The Ratio Table of Levels 70g divides the ratio results into K groups in order to build the histogram. The K groups are obtained by comparison with a vector of K threshold level $Cr(K)$, which indicates a different area of the table for each threshold.

The Gradient Table of Levels 70h divides the gradient results into L groups for the purpose of building the histogram. The L groups are obtained by comparison with a vector of L threshold levels $Cr(L)$, which indicate a different area of the table for each threshold.

Histogrammer 70b executes a histogram of the pixel intensities $P(ij)$ in different cells of the memory in accordance with the following parameters: M(Maximum); L(Gradient); and K(Ratio).

The Threshold Calculator 70c in the Threshold Processor 70 illustrated in Fig. 16 is a microprocessor which receives the results of the Histogrammer, analyzes them, and computes the thresholds for a decision on the pixel type, for: Registration, Reference Image, and Inspected Image. It outputs the results to the Pixel Type Characterizer 72 and 74, as described above with reference to Fig. 14.

Thus, the Pixel Type Characterizer 74 includes five Comparators 74b₁-74b₅ which compare the various parameters (Ratio, Gradient and Maximum) which have been previously computed in units 74a₁, 74a₂, 74a₃, with the threshold levels coming from the Threshold Processor 70. Thus, Comparator 74b₁ compares the pixel flow with the Intensity threshold I from the Threshold Processor 70; Comparators 74b₂, 74b₃ compare the outputs of the Ratio Calculator 74a₁ with the Ratio thresholds R and Rhv, respectively from the Threshold Processor; and Comparators 74b₄, 74b₅ compare the

outputs of the Gradient Calculator 74a₂ with the Gradient thresholds G and G_{HV} of the Threshold Processor 70.

The results of these comparisons are fed to the Decision Table 74c, which also receives the output parameters from the Maximum Definition Unit 74a₃, M(ij) to decide on the pixel type.

The output of the Decision Table 74c is a two-bit word indicating the pixel type. The output is applied to a Type Updating unit 74d, which modifies the results of the Pixel type in certain exceptional cases, such as a pixel slope next to a pixel peak (i.e., to distinguish between an "isolated peak" and a "multipeak").

A pixel is assigned a type according to the following four parameters computed for its 3 x 3 pixels neighbourhood: (1) local maxima indicator, (2) intensity, (3) ratio, and (4) gradient. Fig. 17a illustrates the algorithm to determine the pixel type from these parameters, computed as follows:

1. Local maxima - indicates if a pixel is a maximum relative to its neighbours.

$$m(F_{2,2}) = 1 \text{ if } F_{2,2} > F_{i,j} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 3.$$

2. Intensity - indicates if the intensity of the pixel is significant relative to a threshold defined dynamically in a window of n x m pixels.

$$I(F_{2,2}) = 1 \text{ if } F_{2,2} \geq T_1.$$

3. Ratio - indicates if the intensity of the pixel is significant with respect to its neighbours relative to a threshold defined dynamically in a window of n x m pixels.

$$r(F_{2,2}) = 1 \text{ if } \frac{4 \times F_{2,2}}{F_{1,2} + F_{2,1} + F_{2,3} + F_{3,2}} \geq T_r$$

4. Gradient - indicates if the pixel is located in a slope area of 3 x 3 pixels relative to a threshold defined dynamically in a window of n x m pixels.

$$g(F_{2,2}) = 1 \text{ if } \max_{i=1,2} F \otimes O_i \geq T_g$$

Where O_i are gradient operators and \otimes is convolution.

$$O_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1.4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1.4 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \quad O_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1.4 & 0 & -1.4 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}$$

The type assigned to a pixel may be one of the following: isolated peak, multipeak, slope and background. The type is assigned according to the pixel's parameters as follows:

1. Isolated peak - if the pixel is a local maxima with significant intensity and ratio.

$t(F_{2,2})=1$ if $s(F_{2,2})=1$ and $I(F_{2,2})=1$ and $r(F_{2,2})=1$

2. Multipeak - if the pixel is not an isolated peak, it has significant intensity and none of its neighbours is an isolated peak.

$t(F_{2,2})=2$ if $I(F_{2,2})=1$ and $t(F_{i,j})=1$ $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$

3. Slope - if either one of the pixel's neighbours is an isolated peak or it has significant gradient.

$t(F_{2,2})=3$ if $t(F_{i,j}) = 1$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ except $F_{2,2}$

or

$g(F_{2,2})=1$

4. Background - if the pixel has no significant intensity, or gradient and none of its neighbours is an isolated peak.

$t(F_{2,2})=4$ if $I(F_{2,2})=1$ and $g(F_{2,2})=1$ and $t(F_{i,j})=1$ $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$

The foregoing are implemented by the Ratio Calculator 74a, illustrated in Fig. 18, by the Gradient Calculator 74a₂, illustrated in Fig. 19, and by the Maximum Definition Calculator 74a₃, illustrated in Fig. 20.

Thus, the Ratio Calculator 74a, makes a decision about the central pixel in the matrix, and computes the ratio of the pixel intensity to its immediate neighbourhood.

The possible decisions about the central pixel in the matrix are as follows: (a) maximum, i.e., greater than any of its neighbours; (b) vertical maximum, i.e., greater than its vertical neighbours; and (c) horizontal maximum, i.e., greater than its horizontal neighbours.

The computation of the ratio of the pixel intensity to its immediate neighbourhood is: (a) in relation to the four immediate neighbours, if it is a maximum; and (b) in relation to the two relevant neighbours, if it is a vertical or horizontal maximum.

The Ratio Calculator includes nine registers, shown in Fig. 18a. Their functions are to record the nine values, designated by the letter A-I, of the pixels in a 3×3 matrix.

The Gradient Calculator 74a₂ is more particularly illustrated in Fig. 19. Its function is to compute the values of Gradient of the matrix in the vertical and horizontal directions. The calculation is based on the following formulae:

$$2 \times Gh = ((A+B+C)*2+B) - ((G+I+H)*2+H)$$

$$2 \times Gv = ((A+G+D)*2+D) - ((C+I+F)*2+F)$$

such that the calculation represents multiplying the following matrices:

Horizontal:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} * 1/2$$

Vertical:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} * 1/2$$

The circuit calculates the values of the Gradient which includes the following components:

a) Register Matrix: A to I, in which the values of the pixels in the matrix are recorded.

b) Left Vertical: adds the pixels in the left column according to the formula:

$$(A + G + D) * 2 + D$$

c) Right Vertical: adds the pixels in the right column according to the formula:

$$(C + I + F) * 2 + F$$

d) Horizontal Up: adds the values of the pixels in the upper row, according to the formula:

$$(A + C + B) * 2 + B$$

e) Horizontal Down: adds the values of the pixels in the lower row according to the formula:

$$(G + I + H) * 2 + H$$

The Maximum Definition Calculator 74a, in Fig. 17 is more particularly illustrated in Fig. 20. Its function is to compare, by means of comparators, the value of the central pixel E with those of its neighbours, to determine the following parameters:

a) Mv(i,j) - A logical signal which shows the condition that the central pixel E is greater than its vertical neighbours B and H.

b) Mh(i,j) - A logical signal which indicates that the central pixel E is larger than its horizontal neighbours D and F.

c) M(i,j) - A logical signal which indicates that the central pixel E is larger than all its neighbours A, B, C, D, F, G, H, I.

The ratio definition calculator computes the value of the Ratio parameter from the following two values:

a) R_{ij} - The ratio of the central pixel to its surroundings.

$$R_{ij} = \frac{E}{(B + H + D + F)/4}$$

b) R_{vh} - The ratio of the central pixel to the average of its vertical and horizontal neighbours.

$$\text{if } M_{v(i,j)} = 1 \text{ then } R_v = \frac{E}{(B + H)/2}$$

$$\text{if } M_{h(i,j)} = 1 \text{ then } R_h = \frac{E}{(D + F)/2}$$

The Registration Score Matrix Calculator 73 (Fig. 14) is more particularly illustrated in Fig. 22. This calculator includes a dual-port memory 73a-73c to temporarily store a window of up to 25 consecutive rows in the reference image, for the purpose of computing the score matrix of matches to a smaller window (up to three rows) in the inspected image. The memory has two channels of access: channel 73d, to store the image by input of the stream of pixel data continuously; and channel 73e, to output a window containing a strip of three rows wide, as required.

An input Address Counter 73f generates the pointer for the address at which the current pixel is stored; and an output Address Counter 73g generates the pointer for the address from which is outputted the window on which registration is kept out. The input Address Counter 73f selects the memory via a memory selector 73h. The storage of a window from the reference image is carried out in such a manner that each new row is inputted to a different one of the three memories 73a-73c, so that the first memory contains rows 1, 4, 7, etc.; the second memory 73b contains rows 2, 5, 8, 11, etc.; and a third memory 73c contains rows 3, 6, 9, 12, etc.

The Registration Score Matrix Calculator 73 illustrated in Fig. 22 further includes a crossbar switch 73i. Its function is to transmit three consecutive rows, and to allow switching of these rows each time that a computation of a full row of the score matrix is completed, and there is a need to move to the next row. As an example, initially rows 1, 2, 3 are passed to outputs A, B, C; next, rows 2, 3 and 4 are passed to outputs A, B, C, respectively; and so on. The combinations are shown in the diagrams illustrated in Fig. 22a.

The Registration Score Matrix Calculator 73 illustrated in Fig. 22 further includes a converter 73k which converts the stream of current pixels to three pixels in parallel from three consecutive rows. The conversion is carried out by means of two FIFO (first-in, first-out) delay lines 73k₁, 73k₂, connected in series and each having a length of one complete row.

Calculator 73 further includes a delay 73l for the purpose of synchronizing the appearance of the current pixel in the inspected image with the corresponding pixel in the output of the reference image, before inputted into the score matrix calculator for storage of the respective window.

The Score Matrix Calculator 73j computes the score matrix between the inspected and reference images for all possible shifts of the window. This method of computation is described more particularly below with respect to Fig. 23.

The Score Matrix Calculator 73j receives three pixels from three consecutive rows, from which are produced the nine pixels which form the inspection image. The nine pixels are frozen while the score matrix is being computed. Calculator 73j also receives three pixels from three consecutive rows from which are produced the nine pixels which form the reference image. The nine pixels change with each clock pulse, until all possible combinations of the 3 x 3 matrix within the search window have been completed.

The result of the normalized difference between the inspected image and the reference image is outputted every clock pulse, until all possible combinations of the 3 x 3 adjacent pixels within the search window are completed.

The Score Calculator 73 further includes a Score FIFO Memory 73m. Its function is to regulate the timing of the transfer of the normalized results, which represent the score matrix, from the Registration Score Calculator 73j to the Score Accumulator 73f.

The Score Accumulator 73f sums the score matrix which has been calculated for one registration point, to that for a second registration point. It thus assembles a sample of registration points until the final matrix is passed to the Alignment Computer 62 (Fig. 12) to compute the Dx and Dy alignment control signals.

The Registration Score Matrix Calculator 73j illustrated in Fig. 22 is more particularly shown in Fig. 23. It computes the score matrix based on the normalized difference between the inspected image (3 x 3

pixels in extent), and all the $N \times N$ possible matches in the corresponding matrix in the reference image.

Calculator 73j includes a Pixel Normalizer 81 (Fig. 23) for the inspected image; a Pixel Normalizer 82 for the reference image; a Difference Calculator 83; a Summation Calculator 84; a Division Table 85; a Multiplier 86; a Results Storage device 87; and a Score Accumulator 88.

Pixel Normalizer 81 for the inspected image includes a registrator window 81a whose function is to convert the format of the inspected image from a serial stream of pixels to a format of a sequence of columns of pixels from three consecutive rows; it thus enables, by the use of three shift registers of length of three pixels each, immediate access to a matrix of 3×3 pixels.

Pixel normalizer 81 further includes a nine-addition circuit 81b, which sums the intensities of the 3×3 pixel matrix around the current pixel. It further includes a 1/9 table 81c which divides the sum of the pixel intensities in the matrix by "9", and thereby obtains the average value of the pixels in the matrix.

A delay 81d delays the image data stream until the results of the average intensity from table 81c are available. The output of table 81c is applied directly, and via delay 81d, to a group of nine registers 81e, which subtract the average value from each of the nine pixels in the matrix. The nine results, representing the normalized values of the pixels, are available simultaneously at outputs A-I of the Pixel Normalizer 81. These pixel values will be frozen, and will serve as the reference for comparison throughout the process of computing the score matrix in relation to the reference image.

The Pixel Normalizer 82 for the reference image includes a moving window 82a whose function is to produce three consecutive rows in the search area having a size of $N \times N$ times a 3×3 matrix in the reference image. The three consecutive rows will supply the pixels needed to produce all the possible 3×3 matrices in the search area. Three additional pixels are acquired once per clock pulse in order to enable a new 3×3 matrix to be produced.

Pixel Normalizer 82 further includes a Nine-Addition circuit 82b which sums the values of the matrix, and a 1/9 Table 82c which computes the average of the pixels in the matrix. The reference data stream from the moving window 82a is delayed by a delay circuit 82d until the results of the average intensity from table 82c is available, so that both may be supplied simultaneously to the nine registers 82e. The nine registers 82e

DECODED BY 06500

subtract the average value from each of the nine pixels in the matrix, so that the nine results representing the normalized values of the pixels are available simultaneously at outputs A-I.

Difference Calculator 83 computes the sum of the absolute differences of the 3×3 matrix of the inspected image versus the reference image. For this purpose, Calculator 83 includes, for each of the two Pixel Normalizers 81 and 82, a Subtraction Circuit 83a, 83b consisting of nine subtractors which compute the difference between each pixel in the inspected image versus the corresponding pixel in the reference image; an Absolute Value Circuit 83c, 83d, which computes the absolute value of the differences; and a Matrix Circuit 83e, 83f, which sums all the nine absolute values. The result of the absolute sum of the differences is passed to the Multiplier 86.

Multiplier 86 also receives the output from the Summation Calculator 84 via the Division Table 85. Thus, the Summation Calculator 84 computes the absolute sum of the two matrices on which the processing will be carried out. It includes, for each Pixel Normalizer 81, 82, an Absolute Value Circuit 84a, 84b, which computes the absolute values of each normalized pixel; and a Matrix Sum Circuit 84c, 84d, which sums the nine absolute values.

Division Table 85 prepares the results of the summation for the operation of division by means of the Multiplier 86. Division Table 85 executes the arithmetic operation "1 divided by the sum", by converting the values using a PROM (Programmable Read Only Memory) table.

Multiplexer 86 computes the result of the normalized difference for the point under test. The computation is carried out using the formula:

$$\text{SCORE} = (E|P_I - P_R|) * [1/(E|P_I| + |P_R|)]$$

where P_I , P_R are the normalized values of the pixels.

The Result Storage Device 87 temporarily stores the results of the score at a storage rate which is the same as that at which the results appear, and at an output rate matching the timing of acceptance of the results by the Score Accumulator 88. The Score Accumulator 88 sums the score matrix obtained at the current registration point with the score matrix obtained at the previous registration point. Summing of the matrices at the registration point is carried out for the defined sequence of windows, up to K consecutive rows, before the result of the Score Matrix is passed to the Alignment control circuits 62 (Fig. 12) for processing.

The construction and operation of the Defect Detector, as illustrated for example in Fig. 14, will be better understood by reference

to Figs. 24 and 25. As described earlier, the function of Comparator 77 is to carry out a comparison between the inspected image in the vicinity of the current pixel, and the reference image in the vicinity of the corresponding pixel, and to output an Alarm signal, via buffer 68 (Fig. 12), to the Post Processor 14 indicating whether or not there is a suspected defect. As also indicated earlier, the comparison is made with respect to a variable threshold level, which is dependent on the Type of the current pixel in the reference and inspected images.

The comparison algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 25a. As shown therein, a pixel in a stream of the inspected image is compared against the corresponding pixel in the reference image. The comparison is done under the assumption that a local misalignment of plus or minus one pixel may exist. Accordingly, a pixel is compared to the nine pixels in the 3x3 neighbourhood centered at the corresponding reference pixel.

Each of the nine comparisons is made by comparing the difference between the energies of the compared pixels against a threshold determined by the pixel type. The energy of a pixel is the sum of the nine pixels in the 3x3 neighbourhood centered at the pixel. The alarm value is set to "2", if the difference in all nine comparisons is above the high threshold; to "1", if it is above the low threshold; and to "0" in all other cases.

Comparator 77 (Fig. 24) thus includes a neighbourhood Energy Calculator 77a, 77b for the inspected image and the reference image, respectively. Calculators 77a, 77b compute the energy of the surroundings of the current pixel in a 3×3 matrix of the near neighbours in the inspected image, and in the corresponding reference image. Delay lines 77c, 77d (Fig. 25) are provided before these calculators in order to produce suitable delays before and after the current pixel in order to obtain the three relevant rows for computation of the energy in the vicinity of the current pixel. The two calculators receive, as inputs, the relevant pixels in the three relevant rows surrounding the current pixel, and output the arithmetic sum of the nine pixels in the 3×3 matrix around the current pixel.

Comparator 77 further includes Neighbourhood Registers 77e, 77f for storing the energies in the two Calculators 77a, 77b, respectively, and further Neighbourhood Registers 77g, 77h. Their function is to prepare, in parallel form, the nine relevant Types (T1-T9) around the current pixel in the reference image, in order to determine the threshold level to be used in the execution of nine simultaneous comparisons. Thus, the Energy Neighbourhood Registers 77e, 77f, output nine energies E1-E9; while the

Type Neighbourhood Registers 77g, 77h output nine types T1-T9 around the current pixel.

Comparator 77 further includes nine conversion tables 77i for the low threshold level, and nine conversion tables 77j for the higher threshold levels. These tables are loaded prior to the inspection session. The tables are selected from a set of tables according to the required sensitivity of the detection, as set by the user. Their function is to multiply each one of the energies around the pixel being examined by a constant which depends both on the type of the examined pixel in the reference image, and the type of the current pixel in the inspected image.

Thus, tables 77i, 77j receive as inputs: (a) Type (ij), namely the type of the current pixel in the inspected image; (b) Type (1-9), namely the type of the pixel examined around the current pixel in the reference image; and (c) Energy E (1-9), namely the energy of the examined pixel in the reference image. The tables output signals EK(1-9), namely the multiplication results of the input energy E(1-9), by a constant which depends on the type of both the current pixel and the examined pixel. That is:

$$EK(1-9)=K(T_{ij}, T) * E(1-9).$$

Each of the tables 77i, 77j, is connected to a Compare circuit 77k, 77l, whose purpose is to compare the current energy Eij and the multiplication results of the energy of the pixel and a constant, EK(1-9). The Compare circuit outputs logical indications of the result of the comparison, namely:

- 1 If $EK(1-9) \leq E(ij)$
- 0 If $EK(1-9) > E(ij)$.

A High Threshold Decision unit 77m tests whether all the comparison outputs exceeded the high threshold; and a Low Threshold Decision unit 77n tests whether all the comparison outputs exceeded the low threshold. The combination of the outputs of decision table 77n and 77m is the alarm value. These eight alarm values are inputted to the decision table 66 which outputs the defect flag to the post-processor 14 (Fig. 12) via the parameters buffer 68.

The post-processor 14 (Fig. 12) thus receives the list of suspected defects, together with their relevant parameters, and makes decisions before passing them onto the Phase II examination system. These decisions include: (a) clustering; (b) choosing the points which will be passed to Phase II; and (c) the optimum route in Phase II. The latter functions are carried out by microprocessor programs.

Phase II EXAMINATION

Overall System

As briefly described earlier, the Phase II examination is effected automatically upon the completion of the Phase I examination while the wafer is still on the table 2, but only with respect to those locations of the wafer W indicated during the Phase I examination as having a high probability of a defect. Thus, while the Phase I examination is effected at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution, the Phase II examination is effected at a much lower speed and with a much higher spatial resolution, to indicate whether there is indeed a defect in those locations suspected of having a defect during the Phase I examination.

Briefly, the Phase II examination is effected by: imaging on converter 9 (Figs. 1 and 26), e.g., a CCD, each suspected location of the inspected pattern, and the corresponding location of the reference pattern, to output two sets of electrical signals corresponding to the pixels of the inspected pattern and the reference pattern, respectively; and comparing the pixels of the inspected pattern with the corresponding pixels of the reference pattern to indicate a defect whenever a mismatch of a predetermined magnitude is found to exist at the respective location. To accommodate variations in the thickness of the wafer and/or pattern, and/or multi-layer patterns, each suspected location of the inspected pattern, and the reference pattern, is imaged at a plurality of different depths, and the electric signals of one set are shifted with respect to those of the other set to match the respective depths of the images.

Phase II Optic System

The Phase II optic system is shown generally in Fig. 1 and more particularly in Fig. 26. It includes a microscope objective 100 mounted in a rotating turret 101 carrying different objectives to enable bringing a selected one into the optical path between the wafer W and the image converter 9. The wafer W is illuminated by a flashlamp unit 102 via an optical device 103 having a beamsplitter 104 and a second beamsplitter 105. Unit 102 also contains a continuous light source, such as a standard tungsten lamp, which is used with a standard TV camera 110 and/or viewing system III, described below.

Beamsplitter 104 reflects the infrared portion of the light reflected from the wafer to an autofocus unit 106, while beamsplitter 105

reflects the flash light to the wafer W on the vacuum chuck 24 (Fig. 3) via the selected objective 100. Beamsplitter 105 also passes the light reflected by the wafer W via an imaging lens 107 and another beamsplitter 108 to the image converter 9. Beamsplitter 108 reflects a part of the image via another beamsplitter 109 to a standard TV camera 110 and/or to a viewing system 111 having binocular eyepieces. The binocular viewing system 111 permits an observer to view the wafer visually, while the TV camera 110 permits viewing the wafer via a TV monitor.

Phase 2 Image Processor

Fig. 27 illustrates both the Phase 2 image preprocessor 10 and the Phase 2 image processor 11.

The information detected by the image converter 9 is fed to a preamplifier 120 in the preprocessor 10, to a digitizer 121, and then to a memory buffer 122 in the image processor 11. The image processor 11 further includes a digital signal processor which, under software control (block 124) from the main controller 8, Fig. 2), performs the following operations as indicated in Fig. 27: a matching operation 125, a registration operation 126, a comparison operation 127, and a classification operation 128. The output from the digital signal processor 123 is then returned to the main controller 8.

Fig. 27 further illustrates the Phase 2 image processor 11 as including a hardware accelerator 129 for accelerating particularly the registration and comparison operations.

The foregoing operations are described more particularly below with reference to Figs. 28-31.

As described earlier, the input to the Phase II image processor includes two sets of images, taken from the inspected pattern and the reference pattern, respectively. Each set includes five images taken with focusses at different depths in order to accommodate variations in the thickness of the wafer or pattern, or to accommodate multi-layer patterns.

As more particularly shown in Fig. 28, the reference images and the inspected images are subjected to a depth matching operation 125 matching the two depth sets, and also to a registration operation 126, in which misalignment between the reference and inspected images is detected in each depth. The list of misalignments is fed to the compare circuit 127. Circuit 127 compares the grey level images, pixel by pixel, using surrounding pixels and adaptive thresholds obtained from a dynamic range equalization circuit 129, the latter circuit compensating for process.

illumination and other variations. The output of compare circuit 127 indicates suspected defects, location and score, and is fed to the defect classification circuit 128. Circuit 128 characterizes the data defects utilizing, not only the output of the compare circuit 127, but also previously gathered data as stored in the data base 130. The output of the defect classification circuit 128 is fed to the main controller (8, Figs. 1, 2) for display, print-out, or the like.

Depth Matching

Figs. 29-31 more particularly illustrate how the depth matching operation is performed. Thus, the sequence of images taken from the inspected pattern is matched with those taken from the reference pattern. The goal is to match each image of the inspected pattern with the image of the reference pattern taken at the corresponding depth of focus. Two assumptions are made: (1) the images are taken in the order of increasing depth with a fixed difference between each two consecutive images; and (2) the error in the depth of the first image of the sequence is at most the difference between two consecutive images.

Hence, if I_i , $i=5$ and R_j , $j=5$ are images, respectively, the matching procedure determines x is one of $-1, 0$ or 1 such that (I_i, R_{i+x}) is a pair of comparable images (see Fig. 29), for $i=1, \dots, 5$. Correlation in the depth of focus of two images is measured by computing similarity in the variance of grey levels in the two images. The correlation measure used is the difference between the grey level histograms of the images. The shift x is computed as the one providing the best correlation for all images in the sequence.

Fig. 30 more particularly illustrates the matching procedure. It is composed of the following steps:

(1) Compute the grey level histograms for all the images (blocks 131, 132). The grey level histogram of an image contains the distribution of the grey levels. The histogram H of an image contains in its j^{th} cell $H(j)$, the number of pixels in the image that has a grey level equal to j .

(2) Compute the distance between the histograms (block 133). The distance is taken as the sum of absolute differences between corresponding cells in the histograms. The distance will be computed as follows:

$$d(R_k - I_1) = \sum_i |H_{Rk}(i) - H_{I1}(i)|,$$

DECEMBER 1975 EDITION

where H_{Rk}, H_{I1} are the histograms of R_k, I_1 respectively.

(3) Create the distances table (block 134). This table contains the correlation measures computed for each pair of images.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} d(R_1 - I_1) & d(R_1 - I_2) & d(R_1 - I_3) & \dots \\ d(R_2 - I_1) & d(R_2 - I_2) & d(R_2 - I_3) & \dots \end{array}$$

(4) Find the diagonal in the distance table providing the least means (see Fig. 31) by computing the means of the three main diagonals (block 135), and choosing the least mean (block 136), to produce the depth shift. The shift x corresponds to the diagonal providing the minimal mean, thus minimizing the overall distance between the two sets.

Repetitive-Pattern-Comparison

As described above, both the Phase I and the Phase II examinations may be effected by a die-to-die comparison or by a repetitive-pattern comparison of repetitive pattern units on the same die (or other article). Fig. 32 illustrates such a repetitive pattern on the same die.

The repetitive pattern illustrated in Fig. 32 consists of a number of relatively small (e.g., a few microns in size) comparable units. A typical comparable unit in a repetitive-pattern comparison is shown as the area bounded by the dashed line 200 in Fig. 32. As therein shown, each pixel along the scanning line 202 is comparable to a pixel which is located at a distance "d" either to its left or to its right. Since the two pixels that have to be compared are contained in the same scanning line, no registration has to be done between the "inspected" and the "reference" image, as will be shown below.

Figs. 33, 34 and 35 are block diagrams which correspond to Figs. 12, 14 and 24, respectively (which figures relate to a die-to-die comparison in the Phase I examination), but show the changes involved in a repetitive-pattern comparison. To facilitate understanding, and also to simplify the description, only those changes involved in the repetitive-pattern comparison of Figs. 33, 34 and 35 are described herein; in addition, comparable elements are generally correspondingly numbered as in Figs. 12, 14 and 24, respectively, except are increased by "200".

With respect to the overall functional block diagram illustrated in Fig. 33, the system receives as inputs: (1) signals from the N sensors ($N=8$ in the illustrated embodiment), and (2) a shift control signal 204 which determines the distance (in pixels) between the current pixel and the shifted pixel to which the current pixel is compared. The shift (in

(pixels) corresponds to the distance "d" in Fig. 32, and is supplied to the system by the user prior to an inspection operation. The system processes the N input signals and outputs a list of locations suspected as defects.

The system illustrated in Fig. 33 (relating to a repetitive-pattern comparison) differs from that in Fig. 12 (relating to a die-to-die comparison) in the following respects:

(1) The alignment control unit 262, and the registrator units 264a-264h for each second detection circuit 260a-260h appearing in Fig. 12, are absent from Fig. 2.

(2) A shift control signal 204 is inputted to determine the comparison distance ("d", Fig. 33).

(3) Following the decision table 266, an alarm killer unit 266a is added. Its function is to suppress defect indications which result from non-repetitive zones, i.e., zones in which the comparison distance is not equal to "d". The inputs to the alarm killer unit 266a are an Alarm Flag from the decision table 266 and a Masking Flag from a masking memory 266b. The output of the alarm killer circuit 266a is a Defect Flag, which is "1" (meaning "defect") if both the Alarm Flag and the Masking Flag are "1".

The masking memory 266b generates information needed for the alarm killer unit 266a in order to suppress false indications of defects that result from non-repetitive zones. Its input is a bit-map which contains a "0" for the pixels that must not be compared (i.e., pixels for which the comparison distance is not equal to "d"), and a "1" where the comparison distance is equal to "d". The bit-map is generated by the user by interactive means prior to inspection, and is loaded slice-by-slice to the masking memory 266b during inspection. The masking memory 266b outputs a Masking Flag which is a "0" for pixels that are not to be compared, and a "1" for pixels that are to be compared.

Fig. 34 illustrates one channel in the processing system of Fig. 33 for a repetitive-pattern comparison. It will be seen that the following units appearing in the corresponding Fig. 14 (for a die-to-die comparison) are absent in Fig. 34 (1) the pixel characterizer 72; (2) the score matrix calculator 73; (3) the reference die memory 75; and (4) the pixel aligner 76. The first two of the above units (72, 73) deal with the registration between the reference and the inspected die; and since registration is not needed in a repetitive-pattern comparison, they are omitted from Fig. 34. The reference die memory 75, and the pixel aligner 76 are replaced by the cycle shifter 276a. As mentioned earlier, the shift control signal 204 determines the amount of shift (in pixels) between the reference pixels and

types (inputs a and b to the comparator 272), and the corresponding inspected pixels and types (inputs c and d to the comparator 272).

Fig. 35 illustrates more particularly the Defect Detector Portion of the image processor of Fig. 34, and corresponds to Fig. 24. This circuit compares each pixel to its corresponding shifted pixel according to the shift amount determined by the shift control signal 204; and the comparison generates a one-channel alarm for each pixel having a signal which is significantly larger than their corresponding shifted pixels.

Following are the main differences between the circuit illustrated in Fig. 35 (for a repetitive-pattern comparison) with respect to the system of Fig. 24 (for a die-to-die comparison): The reference die memory (75, Fig. 24) and the pixel aligner (76, Fig. 24) are replaced by the cycle shifter 276a, as described above. The cycle shifter 276a generates a shift (in pixels) which corresponds to the comparable unit distance (d) in Fig. 34. The shifter amount is determined by the shift control input 204. The cycle shifter 276a has three inputs: (a) inspected pixels, (b) inspected types, and (c) shift control signal 204. The cycle shifter 276a is a standard shift register with programmable length. The delay length is determined by the shift control signal 204.

Improvements in Phase II Examination

Figs. 36-39 illustrate a number of improvements in the Phase II examination system described above. Fig. 36 generally corresponds to Fig. 26, but illustrates certain modifications to be described below; Fig. 37 is a diagram helpful in explaining these improvements; and Figs. 38 and 39 generally correspond to Figs. 27 and 28, but show the modifications also to be described below. To facilitate understanding and to simplify the description, only the changes included in Figs. 36, 38 and 39, as compared to Figs. 26, 27 and 28 are specifically described below; in addition generally comparable elements are identified by the same reference numerals except

increased by "300", and new elements are identified by reference numerals starting with "400".

A main difference in the optical system illustrated in Fig. 36, as compared to Fig. 26, is that the Fig. 36 optical system uses darkfield imaging of the object, rather than brightfield imaging. Thus, it has been found that darkfield imaging increases the sensitivity to small defects, compared to standard brightfield imaging. Using darkfield imaging in the Phase II examination is superior in confirming or rejecting alarms detected in Phase I, thereby producing a higher probability of detection and a

smaller probability of false alarms.

The Phase II optical system as shown in Fig. 36 includes a darkfield microscope objective 300 mounted in a rotating turret 301 carrying different objectives to enable bringing a selected one into the optical path between the wafer W and the image converter 309. The wafer W is illuminated by an illumination unit 400 via an optical device 303 including beam splitters 304 and 305. Unit 400 is a standard unit, based on a mercury lamp, such as supplied by Leitz. It consists of a 200 watt mercury lamp 402, a reflector 404, and a condenser 406.

Beam splitter 304 reflects the infrared portion of the light reflected from the wafer W to an autofocus unit 306, while beam splitter 305 reflects the light from unit 400 to the wafer W on the vacuum chuck 324 via the selected objective 300. Beam splitter 305 also passes the light reflected by the wafer W via an imaging lens 307 and another beam splitter 308 to the image converter 309. Beam splitter 308 reflects a part of the image to a viewing system 311 having binocular eyepieces, permitting an observer to view the wafer visually.

The image converter 309 is a CCD camera with exposure control, such as the Pulnix TM 64.

Fig. 36 further includes a darkfield shutter 408 which enables the optics to generate darkfield images by blocking the central zone of the illumination beam 18. The optical system illustrated in Fig. 36 further includes an ND-filter 410 which is used to adjust the illumination intensity on the object, and a colour filter 412 which is used to enhance the contrast of the image.

Fig. 37 illustrates the imaging of a number of depth images at a single location. In the illustrated example, there are three such depth images, but practically any number can be generated according to the technique described below.

The imaging of the locations identified as having a high probability of a defect as a result of the Phase I examination, is accomplished as follows: the wafer is first moved by means of the XY stage (22, Fig. 31) so that the possible defect detected by the Phase I examination is located beneath the Phase II objective 300 (Fig. 36). The autofocus 306 focusses the lens at a predetermined depth relative to the object's surface by moving the rotation/level/focus stage 323 to the proper Z-position.

The rotation/level/focus stage is accelerated to a constant predetermined velocity equal to the separation distance (h) between the

depth images, divided by the time between frames. When the settling distance is passed, three (or any other number) of images are recorded at equally spaced intervals.

The separation distance (h) between the depth images is approximately equal to the depth of focus. This ensures that the defect will be imaged at focus at least in one of the depth images.

Another feature of the imaging technique illustrated in Fig. 37 is that the exposure time used for each image is significantly shorter than the frame time. This prevents the image from smearing due to continuous motion of the stage 323 in the Z-direction at the time the images are recorded. As one example, the frame time may be approximately 16 msec, while the exposure time may be 0.5 msec. This short exposure time is achieved by the built-in exposure control of the CCD camera 309.

Fig. 38 illustrates both the Phase II image preprocessor 310 and the Phase II image processor 311.

The information detected by the image converter 309 is fed to a preamplifier 320 in the preprocessor 310, then to a digitizer 321, and then to a memory buffer 322 in the image processor 311. The image processor 311 further includes a digital signal processor which, under software control (block 324) from the main controller (8, Fig. 2), performs a comparison operation 327, and a classification operation 328. Since the comparison distance (d) is small for typical repetitive patterns, it is assumed that the CCD frame contains at least two comparable units. Therefore, it does not perform a matching operation or a registration operation, corresponding to operations 123 and 126 in Fig. 27. The output from the digital signal processor 323 is then returned to the main controller.

Fig. 38 further illustrates the Phase II image processor 311 as including a hardware accelerator 329 for accelerating particularly the comparison operation.

The foregoing operations are described more particularly below with reference to Fig. 39.

The input to the Phase II image processor includes a set of images taken from the inspected pattern in the neighbourhood of a suspected location designated by the Phase I image processor. A set includes five images taken with focusses at different depths in order to accommodate variations in the thickness of the wafer or pattern, or to accommodate multi-layer patterns.

The suspected location zone is compared against a similar pattern neighbourhood in the image, located at the distance "d", left to it, as illustrated in Fig. 32.

As more particularly shown in Fig. 39, the images are subjected to a neighbourhood extraction operation 325, outputting an inspected zone and a reference zone for each image in the set.

Circuit 327 compares the gray level images, pixel by pixel, using surrounding pixels and adaptive thresholds obtained from a threshold computation circuit 329. The latter circuit computes the thresholds at each pixel location according to the feature detector contained in circuit 324.

The output of compare circuit 327 indicates suspected defects, location and score, and is fed to the defect classification circuit 328. Circuit 328 characterizes the data defects utilizing, not only the output of the compare circuit 327, but also previously gather data as stored in the database 330. The output of the defect classification circuit 328 is fed to the main controller (8, Figs. 1 and 2) for display, printout, or the like.

Die-to-Database-Comparison

Instead of using, as a reference to be compared with the data derived from the inspected article, data generated from real images of another like article (in the die-to-die comparison), or of another like pattern on the same article (repetitive pattern comparison), the reference data may be generated from simulated images derived from a database; such a comparison is called a die-to-database comparison.

The main idea of a die-to-database comparison is: (a) to model the database into scattering images, and (b) to compare these images against the images acquired by the imaging system from the article under inspection. The modelling, or simulating of the images, is carried out using the method described below. The modelled or simulated images are inputted to the system and play the role of the reference die (in the die-to-die comparison), or of the repetitive pattern (in the repetitive pattern comparison).

Thus, in the embodiment illustrated in Fig. 14, each of the eight modelled images is inputted to its corresponding reference die memory (75, Fig. 14) in the die-to-database comparison described below, and is used as the reference stream input to the comparator (72, Fig. 14). The above is more particularly illustrated in the block diagram of Fig. 40, which

DRAFTS OF THE SPECIFICATION

consists of four blocks of the modelling system: a preprocessor 400, a spanner 402, a convolver 404, and an adjustment unit 406. The preprocessor 400 and the adjustment unit 406 are used prior to inspection, while the spanner 402 and the convolver 404 are used during inspection.

The modelling of the scattering is based on the following principles:

(a) the pattern of the object consists of typical features, such as corners and curves; and

(b) the modelling extracts these features from the database and associates with each feature its corresponding scattering signal.

A feature is part of the pattern which may be described by some attributes. The pattern on the inspected object is described by a list of features. A feature may be either a corner or a curve.

There are six kinds of corners, as illustrated in Fig. 41. Each corner may appear in one of eight possible orientations. The orientations are given by $O=45^\circ t$, where $t=0, 1, \dots, 7$. The corners in Fig. 10 are in the orientation of $O=0^\circ$ (that is, $t=0$)

A corner location is the location of the edges intersection. The corner characteristics are:

k - kind, (see Fig. 41)

t - orientation $t=0, \dots, 7$.

There are three kinds of curves as shown in Fig. 42. Curvature $C=R^{-1} (>, 0)$, and normal direction $a(0 \leq a < 360)$, are associated with each unit length (e.g., one pixel) of curve $k=1$ or $k=2$ kind. Curve $C=0$, normal direction a , $0 \leq a < 360$ and length are associated with each kind $k=3$ curve. The normal direction is always from black to white. This curve location is the center of the curve.

The curve characteristics are as follows:

k - kind, $k=1, 2, 3$ (see Fig. 42)

L - Length, if $k=1$ or $k=2$ then $L=1$, if $k=3$ then $L \geq 1$.

a - Normal direction from black to white, $0^\circ \leq a < 360^\circ$.

C - Curvature is computed from the radius by R .

To summarize: each feature is represented by class, location, and characteristics, where: Class is either a corner or a curve; and location is given by (x, y) in a resolution higher than the imaging resolution (that is, if pixel size in the image is "p", the location resolution is at least $p/16$). The resolution is chosen such that a pinhole/pin dot is at least four pixels.

The following table summarizes the above:

Class Characteristics	Corner	Curve
Location	K, t	K, L, a, C

Edge Intersection Center of Curve

The role of the modelling is to generate, based on the features described above, a plurality of synthetic or simulated scattering images to be compared to the actual image detected by the detectors. In this case, there are eight detectors D_1 - D_8 , arranged in a circular array, as illustrated in Fig. 43.

The modelling consists of two steps: First, high-resolution scattering images are generated; and second, the images are convolved in order to simulate the optic smears. Two different models are used: one model for corners, and another model for curves.

In the modelling of corners, data is computed regarding the scattering intensity and the corner shift. The scattering intensities, $f(k,t)$, for all kinds of corners k ($k=1,2,\dots,8$) and orientations ($t=0,1,\dots,7$) for detector D_1 , are measured and saved. The scattering intensity I of corner k at orientation t and detector D is calculated as follows:

$$I(k,t,D) = f(k,[t-D])$$

where $[t-D] = (t-D)$ modulo 8.

With each corner kind (k), a corner shift (r,θ) [k] is also measured and saved in polar coordinates for $t=0$.

The corner shift represents the actual location of the corner relative to its location in the database, and is a function of the manufacturing process.

The corner shift can be further understood using Fig. 44. The actual location of the corner is calculated as follows:

$$X_{\text{actual}} = X_{\text{database}} + \Delta X$$

$$Y_{\text{actual}} = Y_{\text{database}} + \Delta Y$$

where:

$$X = r \cos \theta$$

$$Y = r \sin \theta$$

$$\text{and } \theta = \theta + 45^\circ t$$

The scattering intensities for different values of C are measured and saved for $0 \leq a \leq 360$ for detector D_1 , and for the three kinds of curves. The scattering function is $g(k,a,C)$. A typical function g is described in Fig. 45.

Fig. 45 is an example of $g(k,a,C)$ for $k=1$ (the meaning of k and a is given in Fig. 46). The function g is given for a number of values of curvature C when only two are shown in Fig. 45, $C_0=0$ and $C_1>0$ (in fact, the

value $C_0=0$ refers to a straight line - $k=3$).

The scattering intensities for the other detectors are calculated by:

$$I(k,a,C,D) = g(k,a-45(D-1), C)$$

As a last step, the spatial distribution of the scattered intensity in the image plane is calculated by convolving in convolver 404 the high-resolution scattering image with the point-spread function of the electro-optical system used for imaging acquisition.

The task of the preprocessor 400 (Fig. 47) is to generate the list of features defining the object, as described above, as provided by the database. The translation of the polygons data in the database into a features list is done in the following steps, as illustrated in Fig. 47.

1. FIND EDGE (block 420, Fig. 47) - Translate polygons data in the database into vector representations describing actual edges of the pattern. Fig. 46 provides two examples of the translation. In the present embodiment this step is done by using the Scanline algorithm from "Computational Geometry" by Preparata P.P. and Shamos M.I. Springer - Verlag, New-York Inc. The output of this step is a list of segments or vectors AB, BC, ---etc., each of which is represented by its two end points. The segments are ordered in sets; each set represents the contour of a shape.

2. FIND CURVATURE & NORMAL - (block 422, Fig. 47) - Find associates curvature and normal to each segment. For each segment in a set, the curvature and normal are computed using the neighboring segments in the set. In the present embodiment this step is done using the algorithm of Pavlidis T., Curve Fitting with Conic Splines ACM Trans. On Graphics, 2 (1983) pp.1-31. The output of this step is a list of segments, each of which is associated with two end points, curvature and normal. The segments are still grouped in sets representing contours.

3. FEATURE GENERATOR - (block 424). Generates a list of features. In each set of segments, corners are detected and the location, kind and orientation, as defined above, are computed. For each segment its length, location and kind are computed. The output of this step is a list of features described by class, location, and characteristics.

A general block-diagram of the spanner (402, Fig. 40) is illustrated in Fig. 48. The spanner has two inputs: The first input contains a sorted feature list, as described above. The second input contains the model data f,g, (r,θ). As described above, the function (f,g) simulates the scattering signals for the corners (f) and the curves (g),

respectively; whereas the function (r,θ) simulates the shift (rounding) of the corners by the manufacturing process, as illustrated in Fig. 13. The spanner uses the feature data and the model data in order to generate eight high-resolution scattering images.

The method used for generating these images can be further understood using Fig. 49. The spanner (402, Figs. 40 and 17) first classifies the feature to be either a corner or a curve (block 430) and then uses the appropriate model in order to calculate the scattering intensities from the features. Since a straight line (curve of kind k=3) consists of L segments, the same scattering intensity is associated with each segment of the line.

Thus, as shown in the flow chart of Fig. 49, if the feature is determined to be a corner, the system computes the actual location (x',y') as shown in block 432; then computes the intensity $I(k,t,D)$ for each detector D_1-D_8 (block 434); and then assigns the correct intensity in the right location for each detector (block 436).

On the other hand, if the feature is determined not to be a corner (i.e., a curve), a check is made to determine the kind of curve. Thus, if "k" is not a straight line as shown in Fig. 42 (block 438), a computation is made of the intensity (block 440), and of the edge points of the segment (block 442); and then the correct intensity is assigned to the correct location (block 444). On the other hand, if the feature is determined to be a curve (block 438), a computation is made of the intensity (block 446), and then the correct intensity is assigned in the correct location for each detector (block 448).

The convolver (block 404, Fig. 40) carries out a convolution on the high-resolution image input. The kernel of the convolver simulates the point-spread function of the electro-optical image. The output of the convolver is an image with a pixel size which is identical to the one of the acquired image. Such convolvers are well known.

The adjustment unit (block 406, Fig. 40) uses input images of known curves and corners in order to build the models for f,g and (r,θ) . The images used for adjustment purposes may be known test patterns. The adjustment process is made prior to inspection and may be done once for each type of product. The models of f,g and (r,θ) are used by the scanner as described above.

In the preferred embodiments of the invention described above, both the Phase I examination and the Phase II examination are effected, one automatically after the other. It is contemplated, however, that the

invention, or features thereof, could also be embodied in apparatus which effects only the first examination or only the second examination. It is also contemplated that the apparatus could be supplied with the capability of effecting both examinations but with means for disabling, e.g., the second examination, if not required for any particular application.

Many other variations, modifications and applications of the invention will be apparent.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of inspecting the surface of articles for defects, comprising:
 - placing the article to be inspected on a table;
 - in a first phase, optically examining the complete surface of the article on the table inspected at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution;
 - electrically outputting information indicating suspected locations on the article having a high probability of a defect;
 - storing said outputted information in a storage device;
 - and in a second phase, while the article is still on said table, optically examining with a relatively high spatial resolution only said suspected locations stored in said storage device for the presence or absence of a defect in said suspected locations.
2. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said first examining phase is effected by optically scanning the complete article surface to be inspected; and said second examining phase is automatically effected immediately after the first phase by imaging only said suspected locations on a converter which converts the images to electrical signals and then analyzes said electrical signals.
3. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said surface of the article includes a pattern to be inspected; and said first examining phase is effected by making a comparison between the inspected pattern and another pattern serving as a reference pattern, and identifying locations on the inspected pattern wherein the comparison shows sufficient differences with respect to the reference pattern to indicate a high probability of a defect in the inspected pattern.
4. The method according to Claim 3, wherein said second examining phase is also effected by making a comparison between the inspected pattern and the reference pattern, and identifying locations on the inspected pattern wherein the comparison shows sufficient differences with respect to the reference pattern to indicate the presence of a defect at the suspected location of the reference pattern.
5. The method according to Claim 3, wherein said article to be inspected has a plurality of repetitive pattern units, one of which units serves as the inspected pattern and is compared with at least one other unit of said article serving as the reference pattern.
6. The method according to Claim 5, wherein the article to be inspected is a semiconductor wafer having a plurality of like

integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns, the pattern of one of which dies serving as the inspected pattern and is compared with the like pattern of at least one other die serving as the reference pattern.

7. The method according to Claim 5, wherein the article to be inspected is a semiconductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies, each die being formed with a plurality of like patterns, one of which patterns of one die serves as the inspected pattern and is compared with another like pattern of the same die serving as the reference pattern.

8. The method according to Claim 5, wherein said first examining phase is effected by the following operations:

generating a first flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the inspected pattern unit;

generating a second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the reference pattern unit;

and comparing the data of said first flow with the data of the second flow to provide an indication of the suspected locations of the inspected pattern unit having a high probability of a defect.

9. The method according to Claim 8, wherein said comparing operation is effected by:

correcting any misalignment between the two flows of data;

comparing the data of each stream of the first flow with the data of the corresponding stream of the second flow to provide an alarm value indicating the significance of the presence of a suspected location in the stream;

and detecting a defect at a pixel location according to N alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

10. The method according to Claim 5, wherein said first examining phase is effected by a laser beam which is deflected to scan a line along one orthogonal axis, while the article to be inspected is physically displaced along a second orthogonal axis.

11. The method according to Claim 5, wherein said second examining phase is effected by the following operations:

imaging on a converter each suspected location of the inspected pattern unit and the corresponding location of the reference pattern unit to output two sets of electric signals corresponding to the pixels of the inspected pattern unit and the reference pattern unit, respectively;

and comparing the pixels of the inspected pattern unit with the corresponding pixels of the reference pattern unit to indicate a defect

whenever a mismatch of a predetermined magnitude is found to exist at the respective location.

12. The method according to Claim 11, wherein said imaging operation is effected by imaging each suspected location of the inspected pattern unit and the reference pattern unit at a plurality of different depths, and shifting the electric signals of one set with respect to those of the other set to match the respective depths of the images.

13. The method according to Claim 12, wherein said imaging at a plurality of different depths is effected by moving the inspected pattern unit and reference pattern unit towards and away from the converter.

14. The method according to Claim 13, wherein during said imaging at a plurality of different depths, a lamp is flashed at periodic intervals while the inspected pattern unit and reference pattern unit are being moved vertically with respect to the converter.

15. The method according to Claim 11, wherein said comparing operation is effected by comparing each pixel and its surrounding pixels of the inspected pattern unit with the corresponding pixel and its surrounding pixels of the reference pattern unit according to predetermined thresholds to indicate the location of any detected defects.

16. The method according to Claim 11, wherein said converter is an optic charge-coupled device.

17. A method of inspecting the surface of an article for defects, comprising the following operations:

generating a first flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the inspected article;

generating a second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of corresponding images of a reference;

and comparing the data of said first flow with the data of the second flow to provide an indication by said comparison of the suspected locations of the inspected article having a high probability of a defect.

18. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said comparing operation is effected by:

correcting any misalignment between the two flows of data;

comparing the data of each stream of the first flow with the data of the corresponding stream of the second flow to provide an alarm value indicating the significance of the presence of a suspected location in the stream;

and detecting a defect at a pixel location according to N alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

19. The method according to Claim 18, wherein said correcting any misalignment is effected by:

selecting corresponding registration points in the streams of each flow;

detecting misalignment between the registration points of the two flows;

and shifting one flow with respect to the other flow to correct for said misalignment between the two flows.

20. The method according to Claim 19, wherein said detecting misalignment is effected by computing similarities between corresponding streams of data by summing correlation measures in all possible alignments.

21. The method according to Claim 18, wherein said comparing operation includes:

assigning a type to each pixel in each of the N streams of each flow;

comparing each pixel in each stream of one flow with the corresponding pixel in the corresponding stream of the other flow with respect to predetermined thresholds which depend on the type assigned to the respective pixel;

and assigning an alarm value to the pair of pixels in each comparison in each stream indicating the probability of a defect in the location of the inspected pattern unit corresponding to the respective pixels.

22. The method according to Claim 18, wherein said comparison is further effected by detecting a defect at a pixel location according to the combination of the N alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

23. The method according to Claim 21, wherein each pixel is assigned one of a plurality of types according to predetermined parameters with respect to the pixel and its pixel neighbours.

24. The method according to Claim 23, wherein said predetermined parameters include:

(a) local maxima, indicating whether the pixel is a maximum relative to its neighbours;

(b) intensity, indicating whether the intensity of the pixel is significant relative to a predetermined threshold;

(c) ratio of intensity, indicating whether the intensity of the pixel is significant with respect to its neighbours relative to its predetermined threshold; and

(d) gradient, indicating whether the pixel is located in a sloped area with neighbouring pixels relative to a predetermined threshold.

25. The method according to Claim 23, wherein each pixel is assigned any one of a plurality of types including the following:

(a) isolated peak, if the pixel is a local maxima with significant intensity and ratio;

(b) multipeak, if the pixel is not an isolated peak and has significant intensity, and none of its neighbours is an isolated peak;

(c) slope, if either one of the pixel's neighbours is an isolated peak or has significant gradient; and

(d) background, if the pixel has no significant intensity or gradient, and none of its neighbours is an isolated peak.

26. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said N streams of data in each flow are generated by a circular array of N light collectors.

27. The method according to Claim 26, wherein said comparable pattern units are based on a grid of angularly-spaced lines, and said circular array of light collectors include light collectors located to collect the light in regions midway between the angularly-spaced lines of the grid, thereby minimizing the amount of pattern-reflected light collected by the respective light collector.

28. The method according to Claim 26, wherein said grid is constituted of eight 45° spaced lines, there being eight light collectors and eight streams of data in each of said first and second flows.

29. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said generating operations are effected by a laser beam which is deflected to scan a line along one orthogonal axis, while the article to be inspected is physically displaced along a second orthogonal axis.

30. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said article to be inspected has a plurality of comparable pattern units, one of which units serves as an inspected pattern and is compared with at least one other unit of said article serving as a reference pattern.

31. The method according to Claim 30, wherein the article to be inspected is a semiconductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns, the pattern of one die serving as the inspected pattern and is compared with the like pattern of at least one other die serving as the reference pattern.

32. The method according to Claim 30, wherein the article to be inspected is a semiconductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies, each die being formed with a plurality of like patterns, one of which patterns of one die serves as the inspected pattern and is compared with another like pattern of the same die serving as the reference pattern.

33. A method of inspecting the surface of an article for defects, comprising the following operations:

imaging, on a converter, each location of the article to be inspected and the corresponding location of a reference article at a plurality of different depths, to output two sets of electric signals for each depth corresponding to the pixels of the inspected article and reference article at that depth;

shifting the electric signals of one set with respect to the electric signals of the other set to match the respective depths of the images;

and comparing the pixels of the inspected article with the corresponding pixels of the reference article to indicate a defect where a mismatch of a predetermined magnitude is found to exist at the respective location of the inspected article.

34. The method according to Claim 33, wherein said imaging at a plurality of different depths is effected by moving the inspected article and reference article towards and away from the converter.

35. The method according to Claim 33, wherein during said imaging at a plurality of different depths, a lamp is flashed at periodic intervals while the inspected article and reference article are being moved with respect to the opto-electric converter.

36. The method according to Claim 33, wherein said comparing operation is effected by comparing each pixel and its surrounding pixels of the inspected article with the corresponding pixel and its surrounding pixels of the reference article according to predetermined thresholds to indicate the location of any detected defects.

37. The method according to Claim 33, wherein said converter is an optic charge-coupled device.

38. The method according to Claim 33, wherein said article to be inspected has a plurality of comparable pattern units, one of which units serves as the inspected pattern and is compared with at least one other unit of said article serving as the reference pattern.

39. The method according to Claim 38, wherein the article to be inspected is a semiconductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns, the pattern of one die serving as the inspected pattern and is compared with the like pattern of at least one other die serving as the reference pattern.

40. The method according to Claim 38, wherein the article to be inspected is a semiconductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies, each die being formed with a plurality of like patterns, one of which patterns of one die serves as the inspected pattern and is compared with another like pattern of the same die serving as the reference pattern.

41. Inspection apparatus for inspecting the surface of articles for defects, comprising:

a table for receiving the article to be inspected;
first examining means overlying said table for examining in a first phase the complete surface of the article thereon at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution, and for outputting information indicating suspected locations on the article surface having a high probability of a defect;

storage means for storing the output of said first examining means;

and second examining means overlying said table for examining, in a second phase and with a relatively high spatial resolution, only said suspected locations stored in said storage means, and for outputting information indicating the presence or absence of a defect in the suspected location.

42. The apparatus according to Claim 41, wherein said first examining means includes optic scanning means for optically scanning the complete article surface to be inspected; and said second examining means includes imaging means for imaging only said suspected locations on a converter which converts the images to electrical signals.

43. The apparatus according to Claim 42, wherein said converter is an optic charge-coupled device.

44. The apparatus according to Claim 41, wherein:
the article to be examined has a plurality of comparable pattern units to be inspected, by comparing each such unit, identified as an inspected pattern unit, with at least one other pattern unit, identified as a reference pattern unit; and

said first examining means includes means for outputting information identifying locations on the inspected pattern unit in which the comparison shows sufficient differences with respect to the reference pattern unit to indicate a high probability of defect in the inspected pattern unit.

45. The apparatus according to Claim 41, wherein said table is constructed to support a semi-conductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns, the first and second examining means being located to examine and compare the pattern of one die serving as the inspected pattern unit with a like pattern of at least one other die serving as the reference pattern unit.

46. The apparatus according to Claim 45, wherein said table is constructed to support a semi-conductor wafer having a plurality of integrated-circuit dies, each formed with a plurality of like pattern units, the first and second examining means being located to examine and compare each pattern unit of one die serving as the inspected pattern unit with another like pattern unit of the same die serving as the reference pattern unit.

47. The apparatus according to Claim 41, wherein said first examining means comprises:

means for generating a first flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the inspected pattern unit, and a second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of corresponding images of the reference pattern unit;

and a processor for comparing the data of said first flow with the data of the second flow, to provide an indication by said comparison of the suspected locations of the suspected pattern unit having a high probability of a defect.

48. The apparatus according to Claim 47, wherein said processor includes:

misalignment correcting means for correcting any misalignment between the two flows of data;

comparison means for comparing the data of each stream of the first flow with the data of the corresponding stream of the second flow to provide an alarm value indicating the significance of the presence of a suspected pixel in the stream;

and detector means for detecting a defect at a pixel location according to N alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

49. The apparatus according to Claim 41, wherein said second examining means comprises:

illumination means for illuminating each suspected location of the inspected article, and a corresponding location on a reference article;

converter means for receiving the images of the illuminated areas of the inspected article and of the reference article, and for converting said images to two sets of electrical signals representing pixels of the received images of the inspected article and of the reference article, respectively;

and comparison means for comparing the two sets of images and for outputting an electrical signal indicating a defect at a location wherein a mismatch of a predetermined magnitude occurs between the inspected article image and the reference article image.

50. The apparatus according to Claim 49, wherein said second examining means further comprises:

depth-varying means for producing a plurality of images on said converter means at different depths at said suspected locations on the inspected article and corresponding locations on the reference article;

and shifting means for shifting the electrical signals of one set with respect to those of the other set to match the respective depths of the two sets of images.

51. The apparatus according to Claim 50, wherein said depth-varying means includes a drive for moving the inspected article and the reference article towards and away from said converter to produce said plurality of images at different depths.

52. The apparatus according to Claim 49, wherein said illuminating means includes a flashlamp which is periodically flashed to permit successive illuminations of the inspected article and the reference article on-the-fly while said articles are in motion.

53. The apparatus according to Claim 49, wherein said comparison means includes a processor which compares the two sets of images pixel-by-pixel, with each pixel including its surrounding pixels, in accordance with predetermined thresholds.

54. The apparatus according to Claim 53, wherein said comparison means outputs signals indicating the location of each defect detected.

55. Apparatus for inspecting articles having a plurality of comparable pattern units to be inspected, by comparing each such unit,

identified as an inspected pattern unit, with at least one other, identified as a reference pattern unit, comprising:

a table for supporting the article to be inspected;
means for generating a first flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of different images of the inspected pattern unit, and a second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of corresponding images of the reference pattern unit;

and a processor for comparing the data of said first flow with the data of the second flow, to provide an indication by said comparison of the suspected locations of the suspected pattern unit having a high probability of a defect.

56. The apparatus according to Claim 55, wherein said processor includes:

misalignment correcting means for correcting any misalignment between the two flows of data;

comparison means for comparing the data of each stream of the first flow with the data of the corresponding stream of the second flow to provide an alarm value indicating the significance of the presence of a suspected pixel in the stream;

and detector means for detecting a defect at a pixel location according to N alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

57. The apparatus according to Claim 56, wherein said misalignment correcting means includes:

misalignment detector means for detecting misalignment between a registration point in at least one stream of each flow;

and shifting means for shifting one flow with respect to the other flow to correct for said misalignment.

58. The apparatus according to Claim 57, wherein said misalignment detector means includes means for computing similarities between corresponding streams of data by summing correlation measurements at selected locations in all possible misalignments.

59. The apparatus according to Claim 58, wherein said means for computing similarities comprises a correlation matrix including a correlation measure for each possible misalignment between the two streams, and said shifting means shifts one stream with respect to the other according to the output of said correlation matrix.

60. The apparatus according to Claim 56, wherein said comparison means includes assigning means for assigning each pixel in each stream of each flow with one of a plurality of types according to predetermined

parameters with respect to it and its pixel neighbours, and means for comparing corresponding pixels in the two streams with respect to predetermined thresholds which depend on the type assigned to the respective pixel in the inspected-article stream of pixels.

61. The apparatus according to Claim 60, wherein said comparison means includes means for detecting a defect at a pixel location according to the combination of the N alarm values corresponding to the N streams of data.

62. The apparatus according to Claim 61, wherein said assigning means assigns each pixel one of a plurality of types according to the following parameters:

- (a) local maxima, indicating whether the pixel is a maximum relative to its neighbours;
- (b) intensity, indicating whether the intensity of the pixel is significant relative to a predetermined threshold;
- (c) ratio of intensity, indicating whether the intensity of the pixel is significant with respect to its neighbours relative to its predetermined threshold; and
- (d) gradient, indicating whether the pixel is located in a sloped area with neighbouring pixels relative to a predetermined threshold.

63. The apparatus according to Claim 61, wherein said parameters include the following:

- (a) isolated peak, if the pixel is a local maxima with significant intensity and ratio;
- (b) multipeak, if the pixel is not an isolated peak and has significant intensity, and none of its neighbours is an isolated peak;
- (c) slope, if either one of the pixel's neighbours is an isolated peak or has significant gradient; and
- (d) background, if the pixel has no significant intensity or gradient, and none of its neighbours is an isolated peak.

64. The apparatus according to Claim 55, wherein said means for generating said first and second flows of N streams of data comprises:

optic scanning means including a light source outputting a light beam which scans in two dimensions the complete surfaces of the comparable pattern units to be inspected;

and light detector means for detecting the light reflected from said surfaces.

65. The apparatus according to Claim 64, wherein said light detector means comprises a circular array of light collectors.

66. The apparatus according to Claim 65, wherein said comparable pattern units are based on a grid of angularly-spaced lines; and said circular array of light collectors include light collectors located to collect the light in regions midway between the angularly-spaced lines of the grid, thereby minimizing the amount of pattern-reflected light collected by the respective light collector.

67. The apparatus according to Claim 66, wherein there are eight light collectors spaced apart at 45° intervals.

68. The apparatus according to Claim 65, wherein said optic scanning means further includes a light deflector for deflecting the light beam along one orthogonal axis, and means for moving the article along another orthogonal axis to thereby effect the two-dimensional scanning of the comparable pattern units to be inspected.

69. The apparatus according to Claim 65, wherein each of said light collectors includes an optic fibre for guiding the light to the respective light detector.

70. The apparatus according to Claim 69, wherein the light receiving end of each of said optic fibres is of a shaped, curved configuration having sides converging from a base substantially parallel to the inspected article, to a pointed tip overlying the inspected article.

71. The apparatus according to Claim 70, wherein the width of the light receiving end of each of said optic fibres is about 16° at its base and forms an angle of about 49° between its base and its tip.

72. The apparatus according to Claim 64, wherein said light source is a laser.

73. The apparatus according to Claim 72, wherein said laser outputs a linearly polarized beam; and said optic scanning means further includes a polarizer between the laser and the article to be inspected which converts the linearly polarized beam to a circularly polarized beam applied to the surface of the inspected article.

74. The apparatus according to Claim 73, wherein said polarizer also converts the light reflected from the article to linear polarization orthogonal to the linear polarization direction of the polarized laser beam; and wherein said optic scanning means includes a further light detector for receiving the reflected light from said polarizer, and a beam splitter between the laser and polarizer for reflecting the reflected light to the further light detector and for blocking the reflected light from the laser.

75. The apparatus according to Claim 69, wherein said optic scanning means further includes an acousto-optic deflector which deflects the light beam along said one orthogonal axis in a sawtooth pattern in the time domain; and a drive for driving the inspected article along the other orthogonal axis to effect the two-dimensional scanning of the surface of the inspected article.

76. The apparatus according to Claim 68, wherein said optic scanning means further includes a beam expander between the light source and the light deflector, and a cylindrical lens which focusses the expanded beam on the light deflector.

77. The apparatus according to Claim 68, wherein said optic scanning means further includes a multi-magnification telescope having a rotatable turret carrying different objectives for focussing the light beam on the article to be inspected.

78. The apparatus according to Claim 55, wherein said table is constructed to support a semi-conductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns, the pattern of one die serving as the inspected pattern unit to be compared with a like pattern of at least one other die serving as the reference pattern unit.

79. The apparatus according to Claim 55, wherein said table is constructed to support a semi-conductor wafer having a plurality of integrated-circuit dies, each formed with a plurality of like pattern units, one pattern unit of one die serving as the inspected pattern unit to be compared with another like pattern unit of the same die serving as the reference pattern unit.

80. Apparatus for inspecting articles having a plurality of comparable pattern units to be inspected, by comparing each such unit, identified as an inspected pattern unit, with at least one other, identified as a reference pattern unit, comprising:

a table for supporting the articles to be inspected;
illuminating means for illuminating a predetermined location of the inspected article, and a corresponding location of the reference article;

converter means for receiving the images of the illuminated areas of the inspected article and of the reference article, and for converting said images to two sets of electrical signals representing pixels of the received images of the inspected article and of the reference article, respectively;

and a processor for comparing the two sets of images and for outputting an electrical signal indicating a defect at a location wherein a mismatch of a predetermined magnitude occurs between the inspected article image and the reference article image.

81. The apparatus according to Claim 80, further comprising:
depth-varying means for producing a plurality of images on said converter means of different depths at said predetermined locations of the inspected article and corresponding locations of the reference article;

and shifting means for shifting the electrical signals of one set with respect to those of the other set to match the respective depths of the two sets of images.

82. The apparatus according to Claim 81, wherein said depth-varying means includes a drive for moving the inspected article and the reference article towards and away from said converter to produce said plurality of images at different depths.

83. The apparatus according to Claim 80, wherein said illuminating means includes a flashlamp which is periodically flashed to permit successive illuminations of the inspected article and the reference article on-the-fly while said articles are in motion.

84. The apparatus according to Claim 80, wherein said processor compares the two sets of images pixel-by-pixel, with each pixel including its surrounding pixels, in accordance with predetermined thresholds.

85. The apparatus according to Claim 84, wherein said processor outputs signals indicating the location of each defect detected.

86. The apparatus according to Claim 80, wherein said table is constructed to support a semi-conductor wafer having a plurality of like integrated-circuit dies each formed with like patterns, the pattern of one die serving as the inspected pattern unit to be compared with a like pattern of at least one other die serving as the reference pattern unit.

87. The apparatus according to Claim 80, wherein said table is constructed to support a semi-conductor wafer having a plurality of integrated-circuit dies, each formed with a plurality of like pattern units, one pattern unit of one die serving as the inspected pattern unit to be compared with another like pattern unit of the same die serving as the reference pattern unit.

88. The method according to Claim 5, wherein said repetitive pattern units are spaced from each other a predetermined distance such as to define repetitive pattern zones, and the suspected locations outputted

from said first phase are restricted to locations in said repetitive pattern zones.

89. The apparatus according to Claim 45, wherein said like patterns in all said dies are spaced from each other a predetermined distance such as to define repetitive pattern zones; and said first examining means includes means for suppressing from the suspected locations outputted from said first phase those locations not in said repetitive pattern zones.

90. The method according to Claim 2, wherein said suspected locations are imaged on said converter by darkfield imaging means.

91. The apparatus according to Claim 42, wherein said imaging means of said second examining means is darkfield imaging means.

92. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of corresponding images of a reference are generated from real images of another like article.

93. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of corresponding images of a reference are generated from real images of another like pattern on the same article.

94. The method according to Claim 17, wherein said second flow of N streams of data representing the pixels of corresponding images of a reference are generated from simulated images derived from a database.


95. The method according to Claim 26, wherein said N streams of data in said first stream are generated by a circular array of light collectors, and said end streams of data in said second stream are generated from simulated images derived from a database.

OPTICAL INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS


ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method and apparatus for inspecting the surface of articles, such as chips and wafers, for defects, includes a first phase of optically examining the complete surface of the article inspected at a relatively high speed and with a relatively low spatial resolution, and a second phase of optically examining with a relatively high spatial resolution only the suspected locations for the presence or absence of a defect therein.

17-290871

Fig. 1

11/790871




System Block Diagram

Fig. 2

3/41

11/790871

Fig 3

069811556-1201397

26 E DRAFT 1995112620

Fig. 5





Fig. 4

4/41

11/790871

5/41

11/790871

Fig 6




Fig. 7

6/41

11/790871

Fig 6a






Fig 7a



11/790871

Fig. 8



8/41

90871

03934556 • 420397







Fig 8a

9/41


11/790871



10/41

11/790871

Phase 1 I.P. Overall Functional Block Diagram



11/44

11/790871





Fig. 13

12/41


11/790871




One Channel I.P. Block Diagram

Fig. 14

11/790871

Fig. 14a

11/790871



Registrar Block Diagram


Fig. 15

15/41

11/290871


Threshold Processor Block Diagram

Fig. 16




16/41

11/790871



11/790871


Fig. 17a



18/41

11/790871

Fig. 2/α



- Maximum Definition




Fig. 1B






Fig. 20

- Ratio And Maximum Definition


19/41

11/790871



Gradient Calculator

11/790871




Registration Score Matrix Calculator

Fig. 22

21/41

11/790871




CrossBar Switch Combination

Fig. 22a


22/41

11/790871

Fig. 23

23/41

11/790871

Fig. 24


Defect Detector Block Diagram

08934552 - 120397

24/41

11/290871

Fig. 25



25/41

11/790871





Fig. 25a

26/41


17/790871

Fig. 26



27/41

11/790871

Fig. 27

28/41
11/790871





Fig. 28

29/41


11/790871



卷之三

30/41

11/790871



31/41

11/790871




Fig. 31

11/790871 32/41




FIG. 32






FIG. 37


204 Phase 1 I.P. Overall Functional Block Diagram
 {
 260 a 60 a
 (Repetitive Pattern Inspection)

FIG. 33



11/790871 34/41


One Channel I.P. Block Diagram
(Repetitive Pattern Inspection)



11790871

35/41

FIG. 35



Defect Detector Block Diagram
(Repetitive Pattern Inspection)

117790871




FIG. 36




Fig. 38




Fig. 39

11/790871

38/41




FIG. 40





FIG. 42



k=3




FIG. 41






FIG. 42

CONFIDENTIAL SECURITY INFORMATION





Fig. 43

11/790871

39/41



CORNER SHIFT

FIG. 44



FIG. 45

40/41

11/790871




Fig. 46





Fig. 47



$f, g, (z, \theta)[k]$

Fig. 48

11790871




Fig.19 : spanner flow-chart

Combined Declaration for Patent Application and Power of Attorney

As above named inventor, I hereby declare that

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **OPTICAL INSPECTION METHOD & APPARATUS**, the specification of which:

(check one) is attached hereto.
 was filed on November 12, 1991 at
Application Serial No. 07/790,671
and was amended on _____

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)		Priority Claimed
1. 96312 <small>(International)</small>	Israel <small>Korean</small>	16 November 1990 <small>(the Month Year Date)</small> x <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
2. 99823 <small>(International)</small>	Israel <small>Korean</small>	23 October 1991 <small>(the Month Year Date)</small> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States Application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

(Approximate Term No.)	17-May-1995	Source copied pending placement
(Approximate Term No.)	19-May-1995	Source

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s), with full power of substitution, association, and revocation to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

See also entries in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.
Benjamin J. British Registration No. 1,752,321 and
Mark M. British Registration No. 33,881.

Address all correspondence to:

661 BENJAMIN J. BARISH DIRECT ALL TELEPHONE CALLS TO
662 c/o VICTORIA SHEINBEIN VICTORIA SHEINBEIN
700 2940 BIRCHTREE LANE 301-460-3558
742 SILVER SPRING MARYLAND 20906

I hereby further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application of any patent issued thereon.

FINAL NAME OF SOURCE AND DATE RECEIVED	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	D. Aron	DATE	10/14/99
ADDRESS					
Moshe Schort St., 97332 Jerusalem, ISRAEL		ISRAEL		18/10/11	16X
POST OFFICE ADDRESS					
Address mat: Haifa 31	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	
31 Haifa	4/14/00	4/14/00	Tzurim	DATE	10/14/99
Address					
Haifa 31, 76604 Tel Aviv, ISRAEL		ISRAEL & USA			18
Post office address					
3 Hayarden St.	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	
3 Hayarden St.	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	
Address					
1 Lysa Shlomo	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	10-12-9
Address					
10 Sadeh Serek St., Ramat	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	16X
Address					
10 Sadeh Serek St., Ramat	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	
Address					
Hanu TIROSH	4/14/00	INITIALS OF EXAMINER	ISRAEL	DATE	10-12-91
Address					
1 Moshe Schort St., 97332 Jerusalem, ISRAEL		ISRAEL			16X
Address					
1 Moshe Schort St., 97332 Jerusalem, ISRAEL		ISRAEL			